```
WEBVTT - This file was generated by event.video
00:01:49.400 --> 00:01:52.000
Can I confirm that everybody can hear me clearly?
00:01:53.900 --> 00:01:54.600
Thank you very much.
00:01:57.800 --> 00:01:58.000
now
3
00:02:00.600 --> 00:02:01.800
can I confirm with the
00:02:04.500 --> 00:02:07.700
Guys at the back as the recordings and the live streams
00:02:07.700 --> 00:02:08.800
 started. Yes. Thank you.
00:02:11.300 --> 00:02:13.600
Okay, so if we're ready to proceed we'll
7
00:02:15.100 --> 00:02:18.700
good. Okay, and my name is Graham Keene.
00:02:18.700 --> 00:02:21.300
 I'm a planning inspector and solicitor employed by the planning
00:02:21.300 --> 00:02:24.200
 inspectorates and pointed by the Secretary of State leveling up
10
00:02:24.200 --> 00:02:27.800
 housing and communities as lead member of the panel examining
11
00:02:27.800 --> 00:02:30.300
 this examination this application.
00:02:31.200 --> 00:02:34.500
I asked the other members of the panel to introduce themselves and
I'll
```

```
13
00:02:34.500 --> 00:02:37.700
 start by introducing Mr. Rigby on my rights
14
00:02:37.700 --> 00:02:40.700
who will be leading the majority of this hearing.
15
00:02:44.400 --> 00:02:47.200
Is this microphone working can people hear
16
00:02:47.200 --> 00:02:48.100
me? Thank you.
17
00:02:50.100 --> 00:02:51.000
Thank you, Mr. King.
18
00:02:51.900 --> 00:02:54.500
Good morning, everyone. My name is Guy Rigby.
19
00:02:55.200 --> 00:02:58.000
I'm a chartered civil engineer and a member of
00:02:58.400 --> 00:03:01.300
 a pool of qualified persons eligible to
21
00:03:01.300 --> 00:03:03.400
 serve as an examining inspector.
22
00:03:04.400 --> 00:03:08.100
I'm appointed to this panel and employed by the planning
inspectorates
23
00:03:07.100 --> 00:03:10.400
 on a fixed term contracts are the
00:03:10.400 --> 00:03:12.600
 leading the main elements of hearing this morning.
00:03:14.200 --> 00:03:16.800
Thank you very much and instead.
```

```
26
00:03:18.700 --> 00:03:21.600
Thank you. Good morning. Everyone. I'm Karen Taylor.
27
00:03:21.600 --> 00:03:24.500
 I'm a chartered Town planner and chartered member
00:03:24.500 --> 00:03:27.700
of the landscape Institute like Mr. Rigby. I'm
29
00:03:27.700 --> 00:03:30.500
 a member of a pool of qualified person at eligible
30
00:03:30.500 --> 00:03:33.400
to serve as an examining inspector. And I
31
00:03:33.400 --> 00:03:36.100
 am also appointed to this panel and employed by
32
00:03:36.100 --> 00:03:38.900
 the planning inspectorate on a fixed term contract.
33
00:03:42.100 --> 00:03:45.500
Thank you Mrs. Taylor. I'll introduce our planning
34
00:03:45.500 --> 00:03:50.200
 inspectorate colleagues who are working with us today. Some
00:03:48.200 --> 00:03:51.300
 of whom you will have
36
00:03:51.300 --> 00:03:54.900
 spoken to already Michelle Gregory and Louise
37
00:03:54.900 --> 00:03:57.400
haraway are the case managers leading the
00:03:57.400 --> 00:04:01.700
 case team for these for this application. Michel Gregory
39
00:04:01.700 --> 00:04:04.600
```

```
is in the room here behind me together
40
00:04:04.600 --> 00:04:07.900
with Kaylin Atkins in support and Louise
41
00:04:07.900 --> 00:04:09.300
haraway is online.
42
00:04:11.400 --> 00:04:15.400
So this hearing commenced on six December last
43
00:04:14.400 --> 00:04:17.300
year and was adjourned as it
44
00:04:17.300 --> 00:04:20.600
was not possible to finish all the business on the agenda that
45
00:04:20.600 --> 00:04:22.500
day so is now resumed.
46
00:04:23.300 --> 00:04:28.100
Our rule 6 letter, which is Library reference PD -009
47
00:04:26.100 --> 00:04:29.300
 includes the
48
00:04:29.300 --> 00:04:33.100
web address which will take you to information about the
application and
49
00:04:32.100 --> 00:04:35.800
 documents produced for this examination on
50
00:04:35.800 --> 00:04:38.700
 the planning inspectors National infrastructure website,
51
00:04:38.700 --> 00:04:41.600
 including examination procedure, the
52
00:04:41.600 --> 00:04:44.700
 timetable representations and examination
```

```
53
00:04:44.700 --> 00:04:45.400
 documents.
54
00:04:46.300 --> 00:04:49.300
Please look at the website if you haven't already done, so
55
00:04:49.300 --> 00:04:52.600
we'll be using it to communicate with you and provide access
56
00:04:52.600 --> 00:04:55.100
to documents throughout the remainder of
57
00:04:55.100 --> 00:04:56.200
 the examination.
58
00:04:58.100 --> 00:05:03.100
So a few preliminary matters firstly reminded
59
00:05:02.100 --> 00:05:05.800
 that we are being live streamed and recorded to
00:05:05.800 --> 00:05:08.700
 enable people to follow proceedings and allow
61
00:05:08.700 --> 00:05:11.200
 people to watch or listen later on
62
00:05:11.200 --> 00:05:14.400
for those online. Please stay muted unless
63
00:05:14.400 --> 00:05:17.600
you are speaking if you wish to speak at a relevant point, please
64
00:05:17.600 --> 00:05:20.700
use the Microsoft teams hands up function and
65
00:05:20.700 --> 00:05:23.200
wait to be invited to speak or ask to speak
```

66

```
00:05:23.200 --> 00:05:24.100
 at the relevant time.
67
00:05:24.700 --> 00:05:27.200
Alternatively turn on your camera so we
68
00:05:27.200 --> 00:05:28.600
can see you wish to speak.
69
00:05:30.100 --> 00:05:33.400
The recordings we make are retained and published and form a
00:05:33.400 --> 00:05:36.700
public record that can contain your personal information to which
71
00:05:36.700 --> 00:05:40.300
the general data protection regulation applies. If
72
00:05:39.300 --> 00:05:42.600
you feel that personal information is necessary to
00:05:42.600 --> 00:05:45.700
 impart the hearing. Please
74
00:05:45.700 --> 00:05:47.500
 consider whether you
75
00:05:48.700 --> 00:05:51.300
Could provide this in a written document that
76
00:05:51.300 --> 00:05:54.000
 can be redacted before publication?
77
00:05:55.600 --> 00:05:58.800
Is anybody have any queries on that particular matter?
78
00:06:00.400 --> 00:06:00.800
79
00:06:02.100 --> 00:06:02.400
and
```

```
80
00:06:03.700 --> 00:06:07.000
so this is a resumed compulsory acquisition
81
00:06:06.600 --> 00:06:10.000
hearing and it's some purposes to
82
00:06:09.300 --> 00:06:12.600
 enable the examining authority to complete its
83
00:06:12.600 --> 00:06:15.600
hearing and consideration of the Strategic case
84
00:06:15.600 --> 00:06:19.100
 made for the applicant for compulsory
85
00:06:18.100 --> 00:06:21.300
 acquisition in respect of the
86
00:06:21.300 --> 00:06:21.900
 application.
87
00:06:23.300 --> 00:06:26.300
So those affected persons who have requested to be heard, but
88
00:06:26.300 --> 00:06:30.100
have not yet been invited to speak at today's
89
00:06:29.100 --> 00:06:32.700
hearing rest assured.
90
00:06:32.700 --> 00:06:36.200
You will be heard this afternoon at the second compulsory
91
00:06:35.200 --> 00:06:38.400
 acquisition hearing which is being held
92
00:06:38.400 --> 00:06:41.100
to enable all affected persons to be heard.
```

```
00:06:42.200 --> 00:06:45.200
Meantime, you're welcome to observe watch online or listen
94
00:06:45.200 --> 00:06:45.900
to the recordings.
95
00:06:46.600 --> 00:06:50.100
And reminder that the deadline for post hearing submissions
96
00:06:49.100 --> 00:06:52.300
 is deadline 7, which is
97
00:06:52.300 --> 00:06:56.100
Friday three, March 2003.
98
00:06:58.100 --> 00:07:01.700
So a little bit of housekeeping fire alarm.
99
00:07:01.700 --> 00:07:05.000
 I've not been alerted that there's fire alarm scheduled for
00:07:04.200 --> 00:07:07.800
 today. So if it goes off, it'll be the real thing in
101
00:07:07.800 --> 00:07:11.700
which case I suggest you will March as
102
00:07:11.700 --> 00:07:14.100
 swiftly as possible
103
00:07:14.100 --> 00:07:16.600
 to the entrance and out into the car Park area.
104
00:07:18.200 --> 00:07:21.500
Toilets are through into the main area
105
00:07:21.500 --> 00:07:24.400
 just before reception I
106
00:07:24.400 --> 00:07:24.400
 think.
```

```
107
00:07:26.200 --> 00:07:29.700
There's a hearing Loop facility provided if
108
00:07:29.700 --> 00:07:32.600
 you're having difficulties with that, please see the
109
00:07:32.600 --> 00:07:35.200
 its it guys at the back and may be
110
00:07:35.200 --> 00:07:35.600
 able to help you.
111
00:07:38.300 --> 00:07:42.100
We'll take a short break mid-morning probably around
112
00:07:41.100 --> 00:07:44.800
 half 11 and on this
113
00:07:44.800 --> 00:07:47.200
 hearing we expect to be finished
00:07:47.200 --> 00:07:48.900
 by roundabout lunchtime.
115
00:07:51.500 --> 00:07:54.700
So I'm going to go to introductions now.
116
00:07:55.500 --> 00:07:58.800
And I will start off with the
117
00:07:58.800 --> 00:08:00.900
 applicant Mr. Tony. Good morning.
118
00:08:02.200 --> 00:08:06.500
Good morning, Sir. Richard Turney here Council instructed
119
00:08:06.500 --> 00:08:09.500
 along with Melinda friend Nick Grant who
```

```
00:08:09.500 --> 00:08:13.100
 sits to my rights on behalf of the applicant. We're instructed
121
00:08:12.100 --> 00:08:15.400
 by Prince and Masons on behalf
122
00:08:15.400 --> 00:08:16.600
 of Seneca.
123
00:08:18.900 --> 00:08:22.000
And I then have Mr. Griffiths Richard
124
00:08:21.500 --> 00:08:24.500
 Griffiths from Vincent Mason's and then
125
00:08:24.500 --> 00:08:27.600
Lynn mccale from wsp and
126
00:08:27.600 --> 00:08:30.300
Max flower du from wsp. He will
127
00:08:30.300 --> 00:08:33.100
 deal with land acquisition matters as we need them to
128
00:08:36.800 --> 00:08:37.800
thank you very much.
129
00:08:38.700 --> 00:08:39.800
Mr. Turney
130
00:08:40.600 --> 00:08:43.600
You just bear with me for a moment. My other screen has
131
00:08:43.600 --> 00:08:44.400
 gone dead. So.
132
00:08:46.900 --> 00:08:47.900
see whether
133
00:08:54.500 --> 00:08:54.800
what?
```

```
134
00:10:05.300 --> 00:10:08.600
Okay, we've seems like we've lost power to the screens for
135
00:10:08.600 --> 00:10:11.200
 for the time being so one will take
136
00:10:11.200 --> 00:10:14.300
 a pause for a few minutes. We've lost power. Have you lost these?
137
00:10:15.800 --> 00:10:18.800
As well. All right. Okay well and
138
00:10:18.800 --> 00:10:19.800
we'll
139
00:10:27.300 --> 00:10:27.600
yes.
140
00:10:29.500 --> 00:10:29.700
to
141
00:10:30.800 --> 00:10:33.200
do you have the power back on your side good?
142
00:10:36.500 --> 00:10:37.400
Mr. Richardson
143
00:10:38.400 --> 00:10:42.100
Oh, you're not online. Sorry, Mr. Gazelle.
144
00:10:41.100 --> 00:10:44.000
Yes, good, okay.
145
00:10:45.100 --> 00:10:49.700
Excellent, right, let's let's crack on introductions. And
146
00:10:48.700 --> 00:10:50.300
 S0
```

147

```
00:10:52.100 --> 00:10:55.400
Thank you very much, Mr. Turney now in the
148
00:10:55.400 --> 00:11:00.000
 room. I believe we have Mr.
149
00:10:58.000 --> 00:11:02.300
 Richardson. Is
150
00:11:02.300 --> 00:11:04.600
 that right? Do you like to introduce yourself, please?
151
00:11:06.700 --> 00:11:09.400
Hi, I'm Alan Richards. I'm resident.
152
00:11:11.900 --> 00:11:14.300
Thank you. If you could just speak up when you
153
00:11:14.300 --> 00:11:17.600
wait when you're talking that that would help. Thank you, and
00:11:17.600 --> 00:11:20.500
 then good morning, Mr. Excelsky.
155
00:11:21.900 --> 00:11:22.700
because I'm
156
00:11:24.400 --> 00:11:27.800
thank you, sir. Daniel. Kozelko here. Junior Council for
157
00:11:27.800 --> 00:11:30.800
 say no to Sonica Action Group limited and from
158
00:11:30.800 --> 00:11:33.300
New Market Horsemen's group. I should
159
00:11:33.300 --> 00:11:37.600
 flag that for gender itemate Mr. Allen
160
00:11:37.600 --> 00:11:40.400
 Smith who's currently sat this hammy will also join me at
```

```
161
00:11:40.400 --> 00:11:40.600
 the table.
162
00:11:41.200 --> 00:11:44.200
Mr. Alan Smith, yes. Thank you.
163
00:11:44.200 --> 00:11:45.100
Thank you, sir.
164
00:11:51.900 --> 00:11:54.000
Now, I believe there may be
165
00:11:54.700 --> 00:11:58.700
 some people on line who may wish
166
00:11:58.700 --> 00:12:00.400
to speak. Is that right?
167
00:12:11.200 --> 00:12:11.500
No.
00:12:13.400 --> 00:12:14.000
let me just
169
00:12:16.300 --> 00:12:17.800
okay, so let me just recap.
170
00:12:18.900 --> 00:12:20.100
The people who?
171
00:12:21.300 --> 00:12:22.400
We thought maybe here.
172
00:12:25.800 --> 00:12:30.200
Fiona Maxwell Chippenham Parish Council, so Robin
173
00:12:28.200 --> 00:12:30.600
Upton
```

```
00:12:32.100 --> 00:12:36.200
Allen Richardson your hair Mr. Richardson Nicholas. Writaji
175
00:12:35.200 --> 00:12:38.600
Wright Farms limited Mr. Gazalco's here
176
00:12:38.600 --> 00:12:41.900
 John James Brookside
177
00:12:41.900 --> 00:12:43.100
 stood Limited.
178
00:12:45.100 --> 00:12:48.500
Good. Okay, and Mr. Tony, I
179
00:12:48.500 --> 00:12:49.200
 believe you.
180
00:12:50.600 --> 00:12:53.800
Well that my my notes says maybe
00:12:53.800 --> 00:12:56.200
 one or two people from Acom online.
182
00:12:59.200 --> 00:13:02.300
Mr. Gregory Bill Gregory, I think
183
00:13:02.300 --> 00:13:05.200
 that's right over there. I don't don't anticipate. I
184
00:13:05.200 --> 00:13:08.200
 don't anticipate needing him on the remaining agenda items, but
185
00:13:08.200 --> 00:13:10.700
 potentially he's our planner. I see. Thank you.
186
00:13:17.200 --> 00:13:20.000
Good. All right. Well if that's everyone then.
187
00:13:20.900 --> 00:13:24.000
We will move then to.
```

```
00:13:30.100 --> 00:13:32.400
And just a little bit more housekeeping.
189
00:13:36.500 --> 00:13:39.400
Before I hand over to Mr. Rigby and the main business
190
00:13:39.400 --> 00:13:43.100
 of the hearing. I just want to draw attention to the documents
submitted
191
00:13:42.100 --> 00:13:45.500
by the applicant deadlines five
192
00:13:45.500 --> 00:13:49.000
 and six the deadline five
193
00:13:48.500 --> 00:13:51.600
 documents include the further change
194
00:13:51.600 --> 00:13:54.400
 request that was made and they were
195
00:13:54.400 --> 00:13:58.200
 summarized in the second change is application. That's
196
00:13:57.200 --> 00:13:58.900
Library.
197
00:14:00.200 --> 00:14:03.600
reference r e p 5 - 0 5 9
198
00:14:05.400 --> 00:14:08.700
Dated 13 January 2020 three,
199
00:14:08.700 --> 00:14:12.100
 they relate to the the grid
200
00:14:11.100 --> 00:14:14.200
 connection at Burwell Seneca West
```

188

```
201
00:14:14.200 --> 00:14:17.200
Side B the crash site at eiselham and
202
00:14:17.200 --> 00:14:20.500
an additional archaeological protection stroke offset
00:14:20.500 --> 00:14:20.800
area.
204
00:14:21.500 --> 00:14:24.600
And the removal of cable routes access L and
205
00:14:24.600 --> 00:14:28.200
use of the campus access road to hput premises.
206
00:14:30.600 --> 00:14:30.800
and
207
00:14:32.500 --> 00:14:35.400
in our procedural decision, which is Library
208
00:14:35.400 --> 00:14:38.500
 reference pd023 dated 25 January
209
00:14:38.500 --> 00:14:41.300
2023. We concluded that
210
00:14:41.300 --> 00:14:44.200
those changes were not material and capable of being
211
00:14:44.200 --> 00:14:48.300
properly and fairly considered and commented on by interested
parties
212
00:14:47.300 --> 00:14:50.100
within the time remaining in the
213
00:14:50.100 --> 00:14:50.800
examination.
```

```
00:14:51.600 --> 00:14:55.300
We therefore accepted the changes into the examination. We
215
00:14:54.300 --> 00:14:58.000
 have given we'll continue to give careful consideration
216
00:14:57.400 --> 00:15:01.600
 to the information supplied and at
217
00:15:00.600 --> 00:15:03.500
 those deadlines in respect of
218
00:15:03.500 --> 00:15:06.300
the proposed changes also.
219
00:15:08.500 --> 00:15:12.400
So the additional material
220
00:15:12.400 --> 00:15:15.900
 is also been submitted by the applicant since deadline six
00:15:15.900 --> 00:15:18.400
 and the examining Authority is
222
00:15:18.400 --> 00:15:21.700
 decided to use its discretion to accept these additional
223
00:15:21.700 --> 00:15:22.600
 submissions.
224
00:15:24.200 --> 00:15:27.200
Just for the benefits of the hearing. I just
225
00:15:27.200 --> 00:15:28.500
want to refer briefly to the
226
00:15:29.200 --> 00:15:32.500
chronology here, which is
227
00:15:34.500 --> 00:15:34.900
that
```

```
228
00:15:39.900 --> 00:15:40.500
on the
229
00:15:42.900 --> 00:15:44.400
first of February the
230
00:15:46.700 --> 00:15:49.500
we we wrote in respect of the deadline
231
00:15:49.500 --> 00:15:51.100
 six submissions and
232
00:15:52.500 --> 00:15:55.500
pointed out that some documents had not been submitted by
233
00:15:55.500 --> 00:15:58.200
 the deadline and would be proposed to
234
00:15:58.200 --> 00:16:01.800
 be submitted by Wednesday the 8th of February and those
00:16:01.800 --> 00:16:04.800
 documents are listed in that letter the applicant
236
00:16:04.800 --> 00:16:05.800
 replied on
237
00:16:08.200 --> 00:16:11.600
Third of February he explaining
238
00:16:11.600 --> 00:16:11.900
 the position.
239
00:16:13.600 --> 00:16:18.600
And it seemed that there were some documents
240
00:16:17.600 --> 00:16:20.500
 still outstanding which we
```

```
00:16:20.500 --> 00:16:23.500
wrote to the applicant about on 7th of
242
00:16:23.500 --> 00:16:23.700
 February.
243
00:16:27.100 --> 00:16:31.200
In particular the updated outline landscape
244
00:16:30.200 --> 00:16:32.600
 and ecology management plan.
245
00:16:33.300 --> 00:16:36.300
The environmental master plan zoomed out and
246
00:16:36.300 --> 00:16:41.000
 the environmental master plan zoomed in and there
247
00:16:39.200 --> 00:16:45.100
were two other documents that were
248
00:16:42.100 --> 00:16:45.600
 required
249
00:16:45.600 --> 00:16:48.400
which was the updated outline construction traffic management
250
00:16:48.400 --> 00:16:51.800
 plan and the hedgero plan and
251
00:16:51.800 --> 00:16:54.500
 those are all listed and documented in in that
252
00:16:54.500 --> 00:16:58.200
 letter and you'll find those the current
253
00:16:57.200 --> 00:17:00.800
 relevant correspondence either at
254
00:17:00.800 --> 00:17:04.600
 the second the PD section in the
```

```
255
00:17:04.600 --> 00:17:08.000
 library, which is the examining authorities procedural decisions
256
00:17:07.500 --> 00:17:11.200
 or the the as
257
00:17:10.200 --> 00:17:14.200
 section the additional submissions where
258
00:17:13.200 --> 00:17:16.800
 the applicant has responded and supplied further
259
00:17:16.800 --> 00:17:17.300
 information.
260
00:17:19.500 --> 00:17:22.200
within that within that section
261
00:17:23.700 --> 00:17:28.400
and so really what I wanted to do here is
00:17:26.400 --> 00:17:29.400
 just highlight that
263
00:17:29.400 --> 00:17:32.000
 the applicant did respond on the 7th of February.
264
00:17:32.900 --> 00:17:34.300
and
265
00:17:36.800 --> 00:17:40.200
sorry on this yes on the 7th of February and
266
00:17:39.200 --> 00:17:42.500
 explained the
267
00:17:42.500 --> 00:17:45.500
 position that there were at in negotiating with the
268
```

```
00:17:45.500 --> 00:17:48.900
 local authorities in particular as to
269
00:17:48.900 --> 00:17:49.800
the outstanding matters.
270
00:17:51.100 --> 00:17:54.800
And I guess they
271
00:17:54.800 --> 00:17:58.400
have looked at it with a with a
272
00:17:58.400 --> 00:17:59.000
pragmatic.
273
00:18:00.400 --> 00:18:03.300
hassle in the sense of wanting to
274
00:18:06.300 --> 00:18:09.400
Complete as far as possible negotiations with
00:18:09.400 --> 00:18:12.400
 the councils and before those additional documents
276
00:18:12.400 --> 00:18:15.200
 are supplied what I just like to know
277
00:18:15.200 --> 00:18:18.600
 briefly Mr. Turnaries the position
278
00:18:18.600 --> 00:18:22.800
 as you set out on the 7th of February in
279
00:18:21.800 --> 00:18:25.100
 in that letter does that
280
00:18:24.100 --> 00:18:28.300
 represent the current position the applicant?
281
00:18:35.700 --> 00:18:38.200
It thank you, sir,
```

```
282
00:18:38.200 --> 00:18:41.500
 Rich Turney for the applicant. Yes that the seventh February letter
represents
283
00:18:41.500 --> 00:18:44.300
our current position on those documents as
284
00:18:44.300 --> 00:18:47.300
 you've noted. They are
285
00:18:47.300 --> 00:18:50.300
 effectively under review in the course of
286
00:18:51.200 --> 00:18:54.900
negotiations and discussions with the local authorities.
00:18:54.900 --> 00:18:58.200
We will
288
00:18:57.200 --> 00:19:00.500
 submit revise versions
289
00:19:00.500 --> 00:19:04.400
 for the next deadline deadline seven and
290
00:19:03.400 --> 00:19:06.300
 obviously on
291
00:19:06.300 --> 00:19:09.300
 some of those matters you'll be considering
292
00:19:09.300 --> 00:19:13.700
 some of the issues later this week and the ish but
293
00:19:13.700 --> 00:19:16.900
 yes the the explanation we give there is the explanation for
00:19:16.900 --> 00:19:17.300
 this week.
```

```
295
00:19:19.700 --> 00:19:24.200
Right. Thank you very much, Mr. Tony. And that's
296
00:19:22.200 --> 00:19:25.300
 anybody's got any burning
297
00:19:25.300 --> 00:19:29.700
 issues that I want to raise and response to that. I'm quite
298
00:19:29.700 --> 00:19:30.400
happy to hear them.
299
00:19:31.200 --> 00:19:32.200
but I think
300
00:19:34.300 --> 00:19:36.500
it's probably best if we moved on now to
301
00:19:37.900 --> 00:19:40.700
To consider the rest
302
00:19:40.700 --> 00:19:43.300
 of the agenda. So um, I'll just
303
00:19:43.300 --> 00:19:46.600
 point out that Mr. Rigby Rigby
304
00:19:46.600 --> 00:19:50.200
May briefly return to the earlier items in the agenda in
305
00:19:49.200 --> 00:19:53.200
 order to allow the applicant the opportunity
306
00:19:52.200 --> 00:19:55.600
to explain how the further changes will
307
00:19:55.600 --> 00:19:58.700
 affect what we've previously heard and of
308
00:19:58.700 --> 00:20:01.600
```

```
course the interested parties to
309
00:20:01.600 --> 00:20:01.900
 respond to
310
00:20:03.500 --> 00:20:05.700
so over to you, Mr. Rigby.
311
00:20:07.800 --> 00:20:09.500
Thank you, Mr. Keane. Good morning, everyone.
312
00:20:10.700 --> 00:20:13.100
Everyone hear me. Okay. I hope my name's
313
00:20:13.100 --> 00:20:17.400
 Guy Rigby are believing the remainder of this resume compulsory
acquisition
314
00:20:17.400 --> 00:20:18.200
hearing one.
00:20:19.300 --> 00:20:22.200
So now twice and two which is the purpose of the
316
00:20:22.200 --> 00:20:25.200
 resume compulsory acquisition hearing the how and the why
317
00:20:25.800 --> 00:20:28.800
Firstly remind that this resumed hearing
318
00:20:28.800 --> 00:20:31.600
 is being held as part of the examination of an
319
00:20:31.600 --> 00:20:34.200
 application by Sonic unlimited for an order granting development
320
00:20:34.200 --> 00:20:37.800
 consent for the installation of solar Volta voltaic
321
00:20:37.800 --> 00:20:40.500
 generating panels and electrical battery
```

```
322
00:20:40.500 --> 00:20:43.500
 storage technology on Sonic at East and Sonic
323
00:20:43.500 --> 00:20:46.800
 or west and Associated infrastructure for
324
00:20:46.800 --> 00:20:48.100
 connection to the National Grid.
325
00:20:49.300 --> 00:20:52.500
The scheme would allow for the delivery of renewable energy as a
326
00:20:52.500 --> 00:20:55.800
 rate of over 50 megawatts and it's therefore National
327
00:20:55.800 --> 00:20:58.300
 significant infrastructure project on the sections
328
00:20:58.300 --> 00:21:00.800
 14 and 15 of the planning activities are eight.
329
00:21:02.100 --> 00:21:05.300
As this is the resumption of the hearing which commenced on
330
00:21:05.300 --> 00:21:09.000
 the 6th of December last and which was not completed and
331
00:21:08.300 --> 00:21:11.500
as we've accepted a change to the application in
332
00:21:11.500 --> 00:21:12.200
 the meantime.
333
00:21:12.900 --> 00:21:15.300
I'll Now set out briefly how I proposed to
334
00:21:15.300 --> 00:21:18.300
 conduct the remainder of this ch1 hearing.
```

```
00:21:19.400 --> 00:21:22.400
This resumed first hearing is being
336
00:21:22.400 --> 00:21:25.400
 held under the examination procedure rules made under Section
337
00:21:25.400 --> 00:21:27.500
 97 of the planning at 2008.
338
00:21:28.200 --> 00:21:30.600
To enable us the examining Authority.
339
00:21:31.400 --> 00:21:35.300
To examine the applicant strategic case both
340
00:21:34.300 --> 00:21:38.100
 for compulsory acquisition and temporary
341
00:21:37.100 --> 00:21:38.400
 possession.
342
00:21:39.200 --> 00:21:42.800
Noting that although the statutory tests in sections. One
343
00:21:42.800 --> 00:21:45.500
 two, two. One two, three of the
344
00:21:45.500 --> 00:21:47.800
 planning act do not apply to Temporary possession.
345
00:21:48.700 --> 00:21:51.400
Panel must nevertheless be satisfied that the use
346
00:21:51.400 --> 00:21:54.500
 of the power is Justified in order to implement the proposed
347
00:21:54.500 --> 00:21:54.900
 development.
348
00:21:56.300 --> 00:21:58.600
Human Rights Act considerations are met
```

```
349
00:21:59.600 --> 00:22:02.200
And through our compensation Provisions, which are
350
00:22:02.200 --> 00:22:04.200
 adequate to compensate those affected.
351
00:22:05.400 --> 00:22:06.400
for the proposed interference
352
00:22:08.200 --> 00:22:11.200
a second hearing cah2 will be held this
353
00:22:11.200 --> 00:22:11.300
 afternoon.
354
00:22:12.600 --> 00:22:15.400
So here individual affected persons impacted by
355
00:22:15.400 --> 00:22:18.700
 these compulsory acquisition and temporary possession proposals.
356
00:22:20.500 --> 00:22:23.800
So this morning as detailed in the agenda papers,
357
00:22:23.800 --> 00:22:26.500
which were issued for the hearing when it commenced back
358
00:22:26.500 --> 00:22:27.000
 in December?
359
00:22:27.900 --> 00:22:30.400
The examining Authority will complete its
360
00:22:30.400 --> 00:22:32.900
 examination of the applicant strategic case.
361
00:22:33.800 --> 00:22:36.500
And whether the relevant legal tests policy and
362
```

```
00:22:36.500 --> 00:22:38.300
 guidance have been addressed.
363
00:22:39.700 --> 00:22:42.700
And also as we've accepted the applicants
364
00:22:42.700 --> 00:22:45.500
 further changes to the application which will
365
00:22:45.500 --> 00:22:48.500
 committed which was submitted at deadline five
366
00:22:48.500 --> 00:22:50.300
 and they've been additional.
367
00:22:51.300 --> 00:22:54.500
Documentation sense we will briefly return
368
00:22:54.500 --> 00:22:56.400
to earlier items in the agenda.
00:22:57.200 --> 00:23:00.400
So as to complete our examination of the following four aspects of
370
00:23:00.400 --> 00:23:02.100
 the application as it now stands.
371
00:23:03.800 --> 00:23:06.500
Firstly the applicant's Strategic case for
372
00:23:06.500 --> 00:23:09.500
 both compulsory acquisition and temporary possession
373
00:23:09.500 --> 00:23:11.200
 of land and All Rights.
374
00:23:12.200 --> 00:23:15.700
Second the compulsory acquisition and related Provisions as
375
00:23:15.700 --> 00:23:18.800
 prevented within the draft development consent
```

```
376
00:23:18.800 --> 00:23:18.900
 order.
377
00:23:20.400 --> 00:23:23.400
third whether the conditions relating to the land
378
00:23:23.400 --> 00:23:27.100
 being required for each proposed development or required
379
00:23:26.100 --> 00:23:30.100
 to facilitate the proposed development are met
380
00:23:29.100 --> 00:23:32.400
 and finally whether there's a
381
00:23:32.400 --> 00:23:36.100
 compelling case in the public interest for the compulsory
382
00:23:35.100 --> 00:23:37.900
 acquisition Provisions, which are being sought
00:23:39.200 --> 00:23:42.600
Now we've already heard from the applicants and APS on
384
00:23:42.600 --> 00:23:45.800
 items three, four five and six in respect
385
00:23:45.800 --> 00:23:46.800
 to the original application.
386
00:23:47.800 --> 00:23:50.400
Back in December and we just started on
387
00:23:50.400 --> 00:23:51.200
 item 7.
388
00:23:52.300 --> 00:23:55.200
So we'll hear from the applicant in any ap's wishing
389
```

```
00:23:55.200 --> 00:23:57.000
 to be heard under items three to six.
390
00:23:57.800 --> 00:24:00.300
But only in respect of the change to
391
00:24:00.300 --> 00:24:02.300
 the application, we're not going through the whole thing again.
392
00:24:03.400 --> 00:24:06.400
And will then hear from the applicants and from APS
393
00:24:06.400 --> 00:24:09.800
wishing to speak under the remaining agenda items in
394
00:24:09.800 --> 00:24:11.900
 respect of the application as a whole.
395
00:24:12.700 --> 00:24:15.200
Which following our decision to accept the change now
00:24:15.200 --> 00:24:16.100
 includes the change?
397
00:24:17.100 --> 00:24:19.700
So the rolling back briefly.
398
00:24:20.400 --> 00:24:23.100
the earlier ice and three four five six and then
399
00:24:23.100 --> 00:24:24.500
 seven onwards
00:24:25.200 --> 00:24:25.400
we're
401
00:24:26.500 --> 00:24:30.000
continuing as we would have done in December dealing but
402
00:24:29.200 --> 00:24:32.700
 dealing now with the application including
```

```
403
00:24:32.700 --> 00:24:33.300
 the change.
404
00:24:34.200 --> 00:24:37.400
So that's all I want to say by way of instruction remarks.
405
00:24:38.300 --> 00:24:42.000
But before we move to look at item three again, are there
406
00:24:41.100 --> 00:24:44.400
 any preliminary procedural matters that need to
407
00:24:44.400 --> 00:24:46.100
 be addressed anything animals not clear on?
408
00:24:48.800 --> 00:24:49.700
But thank you.
409
00:24:52.100 --> 00:24:55.100
Anybody online anybody see any hands? Anyway, I know.
410
00:24:56.200 --> 00:24:59.700
Right. So let's crack on with item three revisitor item
411
00:24:59.700 --> 00:24:59.800
 three.
412
00:25:01.300 --> 00:25:04.300
Which is the applicant strategic case with
413
00:25:04.300 --> 00:25:07.900
 the further changes, which is for compulsory acquisition
414
00:25:07.900 --> 00:25:11.000
 of land and All rights, and for
415
00:25:10.500 --> 00:25:12.500
 temporary possession of land.
```

```
00:25:13.200 --> 00:25:16.200
And All rights. Now originally there were people down
417
00:25:16.200 --> 00:25:20.500
 to speak that they're not here. I'm understanding that
418
00:25:20.500 --> 00:25:23.300
 they've spoken as they wish to say.
419
00:25:24.100 --> 00:25:26.200
so firstly
420
00:25:27.500 --> 00:25:28.400
to the applicants
421
00:25:30.100 --> 00:25:33.800
we note that section 4 of your second change application document
422
00:25:33.800 --> 00:25:36.600
which is rep 5 -059
423
00:25:37.700 --> 00:25:39.400
Is entitled purpose of the order?
424
00:25:40.600 --> 00:25:43.600
But it only makes General reference to
425
00:25:43.600 --> 00:25:46.900
 the documents relevant to capacity acquisition and related
426
00:25:46.900 --> 00:25:47.500
 matters.
427
00:25:48.800 --> 00:25:51.500
Which is subject of the hearing and doesn't
428
00:25:51.500 --> 00:25:53.300
 signpost the reader really to the most.
429
00:25:55.400 --> 00:25:57.300
the latest versions of the relevant documents
```

```
430
00:25:58.100 --> 00:25:58.300
S0
431
00:26:00.200 --> 00:26:03.600
to make sure that the XA and affected persons are clear.
432
00:26:03.600 --> 00:26:06.700
 I'm just going to briefly go through my understanding of
433
00:26:06.700 --> 00:26:09.500
 the latest version of the relevant documents.
434
00:26:21.200 --> 00:26:21.600
So
435
00:26:23.100 --> 00:26:26.100
firstly reference will be made in the
436
00:26:26.100 --> 00:26:29.600
 hearing to the latest version of the documents. My understanding is
we're referring
437
00:26:29.600 --> 00:26:32.400
 to revision two of the statement of reasons,
438
00:26:32.400 --> 00:26:35.300
which is rep to a white.
439
00:26:36.500 --> 00:26:39.800
And revision one of the funding statement.
440
00:26:40.700 --> 00:26:44.400
Which is submitted on the 13th of January at rep 5004.
441
00:26:46.100 --> 00:26:49.600
The Vision 4 of the land and crowned land plants
442
00:26:49.600 --> 00:26:52.800
 submitted on the 16th of December 2022 at
```

```
443
00:26:52.800 --> 00:26:55.200
 rep 4003 and then
444
00:26:55.200 --> 00:26:58.300
 as updated in respects of sheets,
445
00:26:58.300 --> 00:27:01.700
15 16 20 23 and
446
00:27:01.700 --> 00:27:02.100
447
00:27:03.200 --> 00:27:06.700
that's appendix h of the second change application, which
448
00:27:06.700 --> 00:27:10.700
was service on 13th of January at 5059.
449
00:27:12.200 --> 00:27:15.200
to revision 5 of The Book of reference, which is
450
00:27:15.200 --> 00:27:17.600
 rep 6 0 1 7
451
00:27:19.700 --> 00:27:20.400
revision 4
452
00:27:21.400 --> 00:27:24.200
of draft development consent or the which is
453
00:27:24.200 --> 00:27:28.100
 submitted deadlines 6 and his rep 6013.
454
00:27:29.400 --> 00:27:32.600
And revision three of the explanatory memorandum, which
455
00:27:32.600 --> 00:27:34.600
 is also submitted a deadline six.
456
00:27:35.400 --> 00:27:38.500
```

```
on the 30th of January 2023 at rep 6
457
00:27:38.500 --> 00:27:39.700
 0 1 5
458
00:27:41.700 --> 00:27:44.600
could the applicant confirm that our understanding
459
00:27:44.600 --> 00:27:46.600
 is correct, and we're up to date here.
460
00:27:47.800 --> 00:27:50.600
He said that thank you Bridgeton for
461
00:27:50.600 --> 00:27:54.400
 the applicant. I think that's right. But by my
462
00:27:54.400 --> 00:27:55.300
 records there's a
463
00:27:56.100 --> 00:27:58.200
the one exception is the land and Crown Land.
464
00:27:59.200 --> 00:28:00.500
where there's a revision six
465
00:28:01.700 --> 00:28:03.100
submitted at deadline six
466
00:28:04.300 --> 00:28:05.200
first of February
467
00:28:13.900 --> 00:28:15.600
the other references fit with
468
00:28:16.600 --> 00:28:17.200
my records
469
00:28:19.100 --> 00:28:19.300
S0
```

```
470
00:28:21.700 --> 00:28:25.200
That was one of the documents that came in after
471
00:28:24.200 --> 00:28:26.300
 the deadline. Is that correct?
472
00:28:28.300 --> 00:28:30.400
I think that one came in every
473
00:28:31.300 --> 00:28:33.500
I think that one was on time right? Thank you very much.
474
00:28:34.800 --> 00:28:35.100
Thanks.
475
00:28:37.500 --> 00:28:37.700
It's
476
00:28:39.700 --> 00:28:43.200
I think it's r.e.p6-005 that
477
00:28:44.300 --> 00:28:47.300
Thank you very much for that the cleanest 004
478
00:28:47.300 --> 00:28:49.400
 and the and the tract is W5.
479
00:28:50.100 --> 00:28:53.300
But the but nevertheless the changes are in
480
00:28:53.300 --> 00:28:57.300
 that revision are on second change
481
00:28:57.300 --> 00:29:00.100
 application. They are yes. Those are the sheets that would
482
00:29:00.100 --> 00:29:03.500
 change and we'd be writing assuming that the other sheets and
483
00:29:03.500 --> 00:29:04.200
```

```
remain unchanged.
484
00:29:06.400 --> 00:29:09.700
The business that's that's right. So I think the document
485
00:29:09.700 --> 00:29:10.700
 you have will probably
486
00:29:11.700 --> 00:29:14.800
Give you what you need, but this is an updated complete set
487
00:29:14.800 --> 00:29:15.200
 of plans.
488
00:29:16.400 --> 00:29:16.700
Thank you.
489
00:29:18.200 --> 00:29:20.900
so secondly
490
00:29:23.400 --> 00:29:26.700
paragraph 4.2.3 of the
491
00:29:26.700 --> 00:29:28.100
 second change application
492
00:29:30.500 --> 00:29:34.000
Which is rep 5059 you say
493
00:29:33.100 --> 00:29:36.200
 that the order and book of reference should be read together
494
00:29:36.200 --> 00:29:40.000
with the statement of reasons, which are companies the application
and
495
00:29:39.400 --> 00:29:42.400
 sets out the justification for the acquisition or
496
00:29:42.400 --> 00:29:43.900
 interference with the order around.
```

```
497
00:29:45.200 --> 00:29:48.300
According to the latest version of the guide to
498
00:29:48.300 --> 00:29:50.300
 the application that deadline's six.
499
00:29:51.500 --> 00:29:54.600
statements of reasons which accompanied the application which is
500
00:29:54.600 --> 00:29:56.100
app 022
501
00:29:57.100 --> 00:30:00.800
was updated a deadline to rep 2018.
502
00:30:02.400 --> 00:30:02.600
but
503
00:30:03.500 --> 00:30:06.100
that appears to be the latest version and I was
504
00:30:06.100 --> 00:30:09.600
wanting to ask in view of the change applications submitted at
505
00:30:09.600 --> 00:30:12.200
 deadline five. If you could explain why
506
00:30:12.200 --> 00:30:15.800
 the statements of reasons appears not to have been updated since
deadline
507
00:30:15.800 --> 00:30:16.100
to
508
00:30:17.100 --> 00:30:20.500
And then updated version doesn't appear to re-included within
509
00:30:20.500 --> 00:30:21.400
 the change application.
```

```
510
00:30:38.500 --> 00:30:41.800
Thanks sobridge attorney for the applicant. Yes. I
511
00:30:41.800 --> 00:30:44.100
 think it I think we agree does need
00:30:44.100 --> 00:30:47.300
to be updated. This David's reasons need to be updated to remove
the
513
00:30:47.300 --> 00:30:50.600
 references to the land that has been excluded in
514
00:30:50.600 --> 00:30:51.500
the change application.
515
00:30:52.400 --> 00:30:55.400
Yes, so when would you be contemplating?
516
00:30:57.500 --> 00:31:00.700
Who did as a nice deadline deadline seven deadline seven?
00:31:12.700 --> 00:31:15.100
And next in respect to the
518
00:31:15.100 --> 00:31:18.200
 changes which have now been accepted the examination.
519
00:31:19.400 --> 00:31:22.500
You've just heard that the changes relate to the
520
00:31:22.500 --> 00:31:23.900
 grid connection at Burwell.
521
00:31:25.300 --> 00:31:27.000
Sonic or west side B
522
00:31:28.200 --> 00:31:30.000
the crash site at islem
```

523

```
00:31:30.600 --> 00:31:34.400
An additional archaeological protection area and removal
524
00:31:33.400 --> 00:31:37.400
 of cable access Roots L and
525
00:31:36.400 --> 00:31:39.500
we'll consider matters in more detail
526
00:31:39.500 --> 00:31:42.500
 as we revisit revisit items four to
527
00:31:42.500 --> 00:31:44.000
 six and also are some seven.
528
00:31:45.300 --> 00:31:48.400
But meantime item three,
529
00:31:48.400 --> 00:31:49.300
 it's strategic level.
00:31:50.300 --> 00:31:53.200
If the applicant please explain how the
531
00:31:53.200 --> 00:31:55.300
 proposed changes which are now accepted.
532
00:31:56.300 --> 00:31:59.400
Affects your overall strategic case for the viability of
533
00:31:59.400 --> 00:32:02.600
 the proposed developments and hence your case for compulsory
acquisition.
534
00:32:04.200 --> 00:32:07.500
With particular reference to amendments to the land Parcels to
535
00:32:07.500 --> 00:32:10.300
 ensure that you only seeking the land or
536
00:32:10.300 --> 00:32:11.600
```

```
rights that you now require.
537
00:32:16.700 --> 00:32:16.900
538
00:32:17.800 --> 00:32:20.700
in particular reference to your responses
539
00:32:20.700 --> 00:32:23.500
to our first written question one three
540
00:32:23.500 --> 00:32:26.200
eighteen through to 1321.
541
00:32:27.700 --> 00:32:30.800
If you could explain the Strategic case for CA
542
00:32:30.800 --> 00:32:33.400
and TP as now proposed now that we've
543
00:32:33.400 --> 00:32:34.300
accepted the changes.
544
00:32:35.300 --> 00:32:38.300
for compulsory acquisition of land and All Rights
545
00:32:39.200 --> 00:32:42.100
and for temporary possession of land and All Rights
546
00:32:42.800 --> 00:32:45.500
and how the selection and design of the route and
547
00:32:45.500 --> 00:32:46.200
accesses
548
00:32:47.500 --> 00:32:50.600
particularly the hport campus the Burwell substation
00:32:50.600 --> 00:32:53.300
and at Sonic West Side B.
```

```
550
00:32:54.100 --> 00:32:58.400
And the associated landscape of mitigation compensation minimizes
551
00:32:57.400 --> 00:33:00.100
 the land and rights you now need
00:33:00.100 --> 00:33:01.600
to acquire compulsively.
553
00:33:06.800 --> 00:33:08.300
But thank you sir.
554
00:33:10.300 --> 00:33:13.200
I'm sorry, you gave a reference to an answer to a first
555
00:33:13.200 --> 00:33:16.300
written question, which I didn't have to yes. Sorry. No reference.
556
00:33:16.300 --> 00:33:18.100
 1.3.18.
557
00:33:18.900 --> 00:33:22.000
Through to 1.3.21. We're
558
00:33:21.200 --> 00:33:23.900
 just doing a rerun of what we did the first time.
00:33:24.700 --> 00:33:27.400
but in respect of the applications that
560
00:33:27.400 --> 00:33:29.800
 now stands which is different from the one that we
561
00:33:30.800 --> 00:33:32.900
talked about back in December. Yes.
562
00:33:33.800 --> 00:33:36.900
So if I just give an overview
563
00:33:36.900 --> 00:33:39.500
```

```
and respect to those changes and then
564
00:33:39.500 --> 00:33:43.200
 perhaps the if their
00:33:42.200 --> 00:33:45.600
 point of detail I can bring someone else into assist that
566
00:33:45.600 --> 00:33:48.300
 come from that so you say you've identified
567
00:33:48.300 --> 00:33:51.200
 the the set of changes that relate to
568
00:33:51.200 --> 00:33:54.200
 the second change is application.
569
00:33:55.100 --> 00:33:58.800
Obviously the position at Burwell substation was
570
00:33:58.800 --> 00:34:02.100
 in part the first change application
571
00:34:01.100 --> 00:34:05.000
 and the second change application completes
572
00:34:04.100 --> 00:34:08.600
 the process the simple
573
00:34:07.600 --> 00:34:12.500
 point is that in the original in
574
00:34:11.500 --> 00:34:14.900
 the application as made we had
575
00:34:14.900 --> 00:34:17.600
 two options for the location of
576
00:34:17.600 --> 00:34:21.300
 a scheme substation outside of
```

```
577
00:34:21.300 --> 00:34:24.900
the at the
578
00:34:24.900 --> 00:34:28.100
Burwell substation. Those options
579
00:34:27.100 --> 00:34:30.900
were Revisited
580
00:34:30.900 --> 00:34:34.200
when it became clear that the
581
00:34:33.200 --> 00:34:36.800
possibility of locating a
582
00:34:36.800 --> 00:34:39.700
scheme substation on National Grid land
583
00:34:39.700 --> 00:34:41.400
was no longer available to us.
584
00:34:42.400 --> 00:34:46.100
In revisiting those options the prospect
585
00:34:45.100 --> 00:34:48.800
of a different engineering Arrangement
586
00:34:48.800 --> 00:34:53.200
different Power Engineering Arrangement involving no
587
00:34:52.200 --> 00:34:55.600
 scheme substation at Burwell
588
00:34:55.600 --> 00:34:58.300
was explored and that is now
589
00:34:58.300 --> 00:35:02.000
the only option that's being pursued the
590
00:35:01.300 --> 00:35:03.200
```

```
result of that. Is that the
591
00:35:04.400 --> 00:35:07.200
Previous two options that were at Burwell have both been
00:35:07.200 --> 00:35:10.200
 excluded in terms of substation connections.
593
00:35:11.200 --> 00:35:14.400
The change that is that is made that is relevant to
594
00:35:14.400 --> 00:35:16.500
 land acquisition that that location.
595
00:35:17.500 --> 00:35:20.900
Is the exclusion of the
596
00:35:20.900 --> 00:35:23.300
plot that was indicated as
597
00:35:23.300 --> 00:35:23.900
 option?
598
00:35:25.100 --> 00:35:30.200
Or option a option A for
599
00:35:28.200 --> 00:35:32.600
 a substation as
600
00:35:32.600 --> 00:35:35.900
 permanent acquisition. So that
601
00:35:35.900 --> 00:35:38.900
 land is no longer identified for
602
00:35:38.900 --> 00:35:42.300
 permanent acquisition. The land Parcels
603
00:35:41.300 --> 00:35:44.800
 in question were all affected.
```

```
604
00:35:44.800 --> 00:35:47.800
Also by the need for rights the
605
00:35:47.800 --> 00:35:50.500
 cable route runs through the land adjacent to
606
00:35:50.500 --> 00:35:53.900
the substation. And and so the the rights
607
00:35:53.900 --> 00:35:56.800
 still required, but
608
00:35:56.800 --> 00:35:59.500
 effectively that change is a reduction in the
609
00:35:59.500 --> 00:36:02.500
 amount of land required for the scheme
610
00:36:02.500 --> 00:36:06.100
 and a reduction in the amount of land that
611
00:36:05.100 --> 00:36:08.900
 is required for permanent acquisition.
612
00:36:10.100 --> 00:36:13.300
So that's an overview at Burwell. And obviously
613
00:36:13.300 --> 00:36:16.900
we say consequently to that that we are
614
00:36:16.900 --> 00:36:19.900
 meeting the relevant tests. We
615
00:36:19.900 --> 00:36:22.400
 are taking the minimum that is required. We
616
00:36:22.400 --> 00:36:25.400
have through engineering reduced our land-taker Burwell and
617
00:36:25.400 --> 00:36:28.300
```

```
618
00:36:28.300 --> 00:36:30.500
 as well as it's extent.
619
00:36:31.500 --> 00:36:35.900
So that's the overview of Burwell.
620
00:36:34.900 --> 00:36:37.300
 And as I say, I'll come back on any
621
00:36:37.300 --> 00:36:39.100
 points of detail that will assist.
622
00:36:40.300 --> 00:36:43.700
But on Seneca West site b as
623
00:36:43.700 --> 00:36:46.400
the examining Authority know
624
00:36:46.400 --> 00:36:49.500
 the position that was reached on
625
00:36:49.500 --> 00:36:52.400
that side. Was that a substantial area of the site
626
00:36:52.400 --> 00:36:56.000
would have to in practice be excluded for
627
00:36:55.900 --> 00:37:01.300
 archaeological reasons the subsoil
628
00:36:58.300 --> 00:37:02.500
 archaeological
629
00:37:01.500 --> 00:37:06.600
 interest of that site required particular
630
00:37:06.600 --> 00:37:09.200
 management, which would
```

reduced our permanent landtaker Burwell

```
631
00:37:09.200 --> 00:37:12.400
have been uneconomic in the sense that
632
00:37:12.400 --> 00:37:16.500
 it was groundwater issue.
633
00:37:15.500 --> 00:37:18.400
That would have to be managed to maintain the
634
00:37:18.400 --> 00:37:20.100
 interest the subsoil interest.
635
00:37:22.300 --> 00:37:25.100
So a very substantial proportion of that site
636
00:37:25.100 --> 00:37:25.900
would have to be excluded.
637
00:37:26.900 --> 00:37:29.300
the effect of that was that the
638
00:37:29.300 --> 00:37:33.400
wider site no longer presented
639
00:37:32.400 --> 00:37:37.400
 a sensible practical option
640
00:37:36.400 --> 00:37:39.800
 for delivering a parcel
641
00:37:39.800 --> 00:37:42.800
 of of solar and as a
642
00:37:42.800 --> 00:37:45.300
 result the area of land identified in the
643
00:37:45.300 --> 00:37:48.200
 center Quest site be as Seneca West Side B,
644
00:37:48.200 --> 00:37:52.100
```

```
including relevant mitigation areas has been
645
00:37:51.100 --> 00:37:54.200
excluded all together from the
00:37:54.200 --> 00:37:57.700
order and again that reflects the correct
647
00:37:57.700 --> 00:38:00.800
approach as far as compulsory acquisition is concerned once
648
00:38:00.800 --> 00:38:03.800
the area available for
649
00:38:03.800 --> 00:38:07.300
development in that area was reduced it
650
00:38:06.300 --> 00:38:09.400
became clear that that land couldn't be
651
00:38:09.400 --> 00:38:12.600
properly being included within the order and also that it
652
00:38:12.600 --> 00:38:15.500
would be appropriate to exclude the relevant mitigation land
00:38:15.500 --> 00:38:18.500
as we explained at the last is
654
00:38:19.800 --> 00:38:23.100
the mitigation land was required for the mitigation
655
00:38:22.100 --> 00:38:25.200
of the impacts of Seneca West
656
00:38:25.200 --> 00:38:27.500
Side B, rather than wider scheme mitigation.
657
00:38:28.400 --> 00:38:31.100
And so as a result
```

```
658
00:38:31.100 --> 00:38:34.700
 that area is excluded from permanent acquisition. There is
659
00:38:34.700 --> 00:38:38.300
 cable Corridor still required
00:38:37.300 --> 00:38:39.200
 in in that area.
661
00:38:40.600 --> 00:38:43.200
But again, we say that's entirely consistent with
662
00:38:43.200 --> 00:38:45.300
the Strategic case that we've made.
663
00:38:46.300 --> 00:38:49.200
The crash site is an area that
664
00:38:49.200 --> 00:38:53.800
 lies within the parcels identified
665
00:38:53.800 --> 00:38:56.200
 for Solar Development. It is
666
00:38:56.200 --> 00:39:00.200
 it is an exclusion area in common with other areas where
667
00:39:00.200 --> 00:39:03.100
we've excluded development other for example,
668
00:39:03.100 --> 00:39:07.400
 archaeological protection areas. It's an
669
00:39:07.400 --> 00:39:10.300
 area which is still included within the pink land
670
00:39:10.300 --> 00:39:14.000
 for permanent acquisition. But we say that's appropriate
671
00:39:13.300 --> 00:39:16.200
```

```
given what is being done there namely that
672
00:39:16.200 --> 00:39:21.800
we're setting off from the historic interest
00:39:20.800 --> 00:39:23.300
within the
674
00:39:23.300 --> 00:39:27.800
 site but nonetheless developing The Wider parcel for solar
675
00:39:26.800 --> 00:39:29.400
 and it remains appropriate to
676
00:39:29.400 --> 00:39:33.800
 include the whole in the center within compulsory
677
00:39:32.800 --> 00:39:36.200
 acquisition Powers not least because it
678
00:39:35.200 --> 00:39:38.400
would be entirely enclosed by by Pink
679
00:39:38.400 --> 00:39:38.900
 land.
680
00:39:41.200 --> 00:39:44.900
The additional archaeological protection area again. It's
681
00:39:44.900 --> 00:39:47.300
 it's a set of its consistent with the approach
682
00:39:47.300 --> 00:39:50.400
 taking across the scheme where mitigation areas are
683
00:39:50.400 --> 00:39:53.200
 identified within the scheme boundaries. They are
684
00:39:53.200 --> 00:39:57.000
 identified for compulsory acquisition and
```

```
685
00:39:56.900 --> 00:40:00.600
that's just included as a further set
686
00:39:59.600 --> 00:40:01.300
 off area.
687
00:40:03.100 --> 00:40:06.300
the the final point in the change is in respect of
688
00:40:06.300 --> 00:40:06.900
H put
689
00:40:08.300 --> 00:40:11.700
the position with h was obviously as the
690
00:40:11.700 --> 00:40:14.800
 examining Authority would have seen is that there's
691
00:40:14.800 --> 00:40:16.200
been a ongoing engagement.
692
00:40:17.300 --> 00:40:20.400
There's a need to use age puts land
693
00:40:20.400 --> 00:40:24.000
 for cable for laying
694
00:40:23.100 --> 00:40:26.900
 the cable and it's part of the cable Corridor. We have
695
00:40:26.900 --> 00:40:30.100
 explored that with them
696
00:40:29.100 --> 00:40:32.400
 and and reach position where we're very
697
00:40:32.400 --> 00:40:35.600
 close to agreement as they have told the examining Authority.
698
00:40:35.600 --> 00:40:36.300
```

```
I think yesterday.
699
00:40:37.800 --> 00:40:41.100
Um, one of the issues that concerned hput
700
00:40:40.100 --> 00:40:43.900
was the use of their site access
701
00:40:43.900 --> 00:40:47.200
 and Car Park area as a as
702
00:40:46.200 --> 00:40:49.800
 a site access as a construction access route.
703
00:40:50.400 --> 00:40:54.400
In the course of negotiations we have
704
00:40:54.400 --> 00:40:58.200
 agreed that we will take access to other means and exclude
705
00:40:57.200 --> 00:41:00.700
 that area as an identified
706
00:41:00.700 --> 00:41:03.600
 access the reasons there effectively turn
707
00:41:03.600 --> 00:41:06.200
 on H puts concerns
708
00:41:06.200 --> 00:41:09.500
 about the impact on their operations. The access itself
709
00:41:09.500 --> 00:41:12.200
was in our view suitable and
710
00:41:12.200 --> 00:41:15.500
 appropriate route to take to access the construction areas,
711
00:41:15.500 --> 00:41:18.300
 but given the concerns
```

```
712
00:41:18.300 --> 00:41:21.800
about the impacts on HBO. Its operation we have
713
00:41:21.800 --> 00:41:25.000
accepted that we should take access rather
00:41:24.200 --> 00:41:25.600
mean so that
715
00:41:26.200 --> 00:41:28.700
access routers excluded that the
716
00:41:30.800 --> 00:41:34.400
cable easement in the sense of the rights
717
00:41:34.400 --> 00:41:38.000
that we seek over the over age group land remains. It's
718
00:41:37.300 --> 00:41:39.800
the construction access that's removed.
719
00:41:40.600 --> 00:41:44.300
So that's the overview in terms
720
00:41:44.300 --> 00:41:47.200
of the Strategic case the Strategic case Remains the
721
00:41:47.200 --> 00:41:51.200
Same obviously the
722
00:41:50.200 --> 00:41:53.900
extent the overall physical
723
00:41:53.900 --> 00:41:56.600
extent of the project has slightly reduced
00:41:56.600 --> 00:41:59.600
 in terms of the amount of solar panels that can
725
00:41:59.600 --> 00:42:02.400
```

```
726
00:42:02.400 --> 00:42:06.200
 cellular development. But as we explained in
727
00:42:06.200 --> 00:42:09.400
 in exploring at the last set of
728
00:42:09.400 --> 00:42:12.700
 issues specific hearings the Sonica
729
00:42:12.700 --> 00:42:15.400
West site be change. It's a change
730
00:42:15.400 --> 00:42:19.400
which effectively doesn't alter
731
00:42:18.400 --> 00:42:22.600
the overall broad scale
732
00:42:22.600 --> 00:42:25.500
 of the energy that we
733
00:42:25.500 --> 00:42:29.200
 generated renewable renewable energy that we generated it would
734
00:42:28.200 --> 00:42:32.000
with those changes be a relatively
735
00:42:31.600 --> 00:42:35.600
 inefficient parcel and with
736
00:42:34.600 --> 00:42:37.200
 the with the further standoff it
737
00:42:37.200 --> 00:42:40.000
became one which was not prudent or
738
00:42:40.500 --> 00:42:43.100
Appropriate to pursue. So the Strategic
```

be accommodated the amount of area identified for

```
739
00:42:43.100 --> 00:42:46.400
case is unaffected by that change. The other changes are
740
00:42:46.400 --> 00:42:49.700
effectively with the exception of burbola, effectively mitigation
00:42:49.700 --> 00:42:52.300
Burwell obviously is
742
00:42:52.300 --> 00:42:55.100
a change to the means of connecting to the
743
00:42:55.100 --> 00:42:58.700
grid it Remains the Same principle. The scheme
744
00:42:58.700 --> 00:43:01.700
 is being connected through to
745
00:43:01.700 --> 00:43:04.900
Burwell and the cable route Remains the Same the
746
00:43:04.900 --> 00:43:07.900
change is really where are the schemes substations
747
00:43:07.900 --> 00:43:10.300
the scheme substations now lie within the solar
748
00:43:10.300 --> 00:43:14.200
sites as opposed to lying at Burwell and
749
00:43:13.200 --> 00:43:16.600
I think
750
00:43:16.600 --> 00:43:17.800
that provides an overview
751
00:43:19.400 --> 00:43:21.600
But I'm sure they're specifics that I can help you with.
752
00:43:22.500 --> 00:43:25.400
```

```
No, that's that's very helpful. Thank you because you're just just
on this
753
00:43:25.400 --> 00:43:29.400
 item dealing at the Strategic level. And at
754
00:43:28.400 --> 00:43:32.400
 this point if I could just confirm that
755
00:43:31.400 --> 00:43:34.400
 strategic level your strategy in
756
00:43:34.400 --> 00:43:36.100
 relation to ca and TP.
757
00:43:37.100 --> 00:43:40.300
Is it after taking temporary possession of the order land?
758
00:43:40.900 --> 00:43:42.700
other than plot 21:04
00:43:44.100 --> 00:43:47.700
and undertaking detail surveys and design you only
760
00:43:47.700 --> 00:43:50.500
 compulsory acquire land or right server
761
00:43:50.500 --> 00:43:53.500
 land in respects of that part of the order land
762
00:43:53.500 --> 00:43:54.200
which you actually need.
763
00:43:55.300 --> 00:43:58.200
So for example where a cable corrid is a hundred meters
764
00:43:58.200 --> 00:43:58.400
wide.
765
00:43:59.200 --> 00:44:02.000
You won't need the whole hundred meters, but rather a root of
```

```
766
00:44:02.500 --> 00:44:05.600
 perhaps 20 meters within that 100 meters that's somewhere within
767
00:44:05.600 --> 00:44:08.300
 100 meters and that's where you
768
00:44:08.300 --> 00:44:09.100
 intend to proceed.
769
00:44:09.700 --> 00:44:12.000
That yes, it's Rich turning for
770
00:44:12.100 --> 00:44:15.300
 the applicant that that's exactly right. There's no change to that
and the
771
00:44:15.300 --> 00:44:19.100
position Remains the Same in respect to the whole cable route and
772
00:44:18.100 --> 00:44:21.800
 that the as
773
00:44:21.800 --> 00:44:24.900
you write the identify there's a the approach
00:44:24.900 --> 00:44:27.600
 that's taken in common with other schemes of this nature is
775
00:44:27.600 --> 00:44:30.900
to take a corridor of a
776
00:44:30.900 --> 00:44:33.600
 certain width. We know we will lay within that Corridor
777
00:44:33.600 --> 00:44:37.700
the precise alignment through that Corridor will
778
00:44:36.700 --> 00:44:40.300
 be determined in detailed design
```

```
779
00:44:39.300 --> 00:44:42.600
 and on the receipt of detailed site
780
00:44:42.600 --> 00:44:45.100
 survey information, but the
00:44:45.100 --> 00:44:48.300
 permanent rights will be limited to a far
782
00:44:48.300 --> 00:44:51.400
 narrower easement through that land
783
00:44:51.400 --> 00:44:54.400
 and obviously in any event in the cable Corridor.
784
00:44:54.400 --> 00:44:57.100
We're talking about a cable which we lying in the
785
00:44:57.100 --> 00:45:00.800
 ground and the right essentially to
786
00:45:00.800 --> 00:45:04.600
 keep the cable there and to access the land merely for
787
00:45:04.600 --> 00:45:07.900
 the purposes of ensuring that the cable remains in
788
00:45:07.900 --> 00:45:09.000
 good repair and
789
00:45:09.700 --> 00:45:12.200
And so on and to gain access should you need
790
00:45:12.200 --> 00:45:15.400
to if any repairs might be needed or put any other
791
00:45:15.400 --> 00:45:20.500
work precisely and whether that's cable remedial
792
00:45:20.500 --> 00:45:23.600
```

```
work or dealing with some other issue
793
00:45:23.600 --> 00:45:27.300
 relating to the operation of the cable? There's there's
794
00:45:26.300 --> 00:45:29.400
a power which will allow us
795
00:45:29.400 --> 00:45:33.000
 to enter that Corridor and
796
00:45:32.400 --> 00:45:35.300
to carry out work on the cable during the
797
00:45:35.300 --> 00:45:35.900
 life of the project.
798
00:45:37.300 --> 00:45:37.300
Thank you.
799
00:45:38.200 --> 00:45:39.200
Excuse me a minute.
800
00:45:48.200 --> 00:45:51.700
Sorry, it's just me being ignorant it which area
00:45:51.700 --> 00:45:54.800
 of that does that apply to specifically that
802
00:45:54.800 --> 00:45:55.600
point?
803
00:45:58.200 --> 00:46:01.700
In terms of the the powers within the cable Corridor.
804
00:46:01.700 --> 00:46:04.200
Yeah, were you talking generally or with reference to
805
00:46:04.200 --> 00:46:07.300
 a specific cable courage? That's generally within
```

```
806
00:46:07.300 --> 00:46:10.200
 the whole cable Corridor. There's a right to there'll be
807
00:46:10.200 --> 00:46:13.300
 a right to enter the land to access the
00:46:13.300 --> 00:46:16.400
 cable for the purposes of Maintenance and so on. Yes.
809
00:46:17.200 --> 00:46:17.600
Yes.
810
00:46:19.100 --> 00:46:19.600
Okay. Thank you.
811
00:46:20.600 --> 00:46:24.300
Thank you. So and just while we're
812
00:46:24.300 --> 00:46:24.800
 on the subject of
813
00:46:26.200 --> 00:46:29.600
changes other suggested changes to the
814
00:46:29.600 --> 00:46:32.500
 application were put forward by the local authorities in
815
00:46:32.500 --> 00:46:35.000
 their joint local impact report, which is at
816
00:46:35.600 --> 00:46:37.000
rep 1024
817
00:46:37.800 --> 00:46:38.200
S0
818
00:46:39.400 --> 00:46:42.700
if you could explain how these other suggested changes.
819
00:46:43.700 --> 00:46:46.600
```

```
Wood or might affect your overall strategic case
820
00:46:46.600 --> 00:46:49.400
 for the viability of the proposed development
821
00:46:49.400 --> 00:46:52.300
 and hence your case for compulsory acquisition
822
00:46:52.300 --> 00:46:55.400
 again with particular reference to amendments to
823
00:46:55.400 --> 00:46:58.300
 land Parcels to ensure that you only seeking the
824
00:46:58.300 --> 00:46:59.600
 land or rights you require.
825
00:47:03.100 --> 00:47:05.900
So yes, I just want to check.
826
00:47:07.100 --> 00:47:09.800
A document reference which I think will be helpful for this.
827
00:47:11.400 --> 00:47:14.200
I should say. So what whilst sorry I should say
828
00:47:14.200 --> 00:47:18.000
 Rich Journey for the applicant whilst Whilst I just check that
reference just
829
00:47:17.200 --> 00:47:21.000
 to give you a reference back to the Mr. Keen's
830
00:47:20.100 --> 00:47:23.300
 query about the cable Corridor in the statement
831
00:47:23.300 --> 00:47:27.300
 of reasons. We explain how that permanent easement
832
00:47:26.300 --> 00:47:29.700
 over the cable Corridor permits.
```

```
833
00:47:29.700 --> 00:47:33.600
Not only the sort of passive keeping
834
00:47:32.600 --> 00:47:35.800
 of the cable in the ground, but
835
00:47:35.800 --> 00:47:38.600
 also the possibility of actively entering
836
00:47:38.600 --> 00:47:41.400
 onto the site and carrying out Works to
837
00:47:41.400 --> 00:47:41.600
 that cable.
838
00:47:44.200 --> 00:47:46.100
here Adams
839
00:47:47.200 --> 00:47:49.400
sorry said that no, it's
00:47:52.200 --> 00:47:55.500
And we we provided a
841
00:47:55.500 --> 00:47:58.100
 submission. I think it's a deadline father. I'm just slightly
struggling to
842
00:47:58.100 --> 00:47:59.300
 immediately find it.
843
00:48:03.500 --> 00:48:04.700
Which explained?
844
00:48:15.100 --> 00:48:18.500
So we we provided information I think is deadline five.
845
00:48:18.500 --> 00:48:21.300
 I'm just going to provide that the document but just just by
```

```
846
00:48:21.300 --> 00:48:24.500
way of you. We identified I think
847
00:48:24.500 --> 00:48:27.500
 in response really to the questions that were raised
848
00:48:27.500 --> 00:48:30.900
by examining Authority as to how the
849
00:48:30.900 --> 00:48:34.100
 various Parcels contributed to
850
00:48:33.100 --> 00:48:35.400
 energy generation.
851
00:48:41.500 --> 00:48:41.700
It's
852
00:48:43.400 --> 00:48:46.800
our response to Second written
853
00:48:46.800 --> 00:48:47.200
 questions
854
00:48:49.500 --> 00:48:50.200
by document
855
00:48:54.600 --> 00:48:55.700
So give me a document number.
856
00:49:03.900 --> 00:49:04.300
Sorry.
857
00:49:06.500 --> 00:49:09.900
Thank you, Mr. Griffiths rep506. So
858
00:49:09.900 --> 00:49:11.100
 it's our response to.
859
00:49:12.700 --> 00:49:12.900
```

```
the
860
00:49:14.600 --> 00:49:15.500
second written questions
00:49:18.300 --> 00:49:20.200
And we explain.
00:49:22.300 --> 00:49:23.500
if effectively through
863
00:49:26.400 --> 00:49:30.100
question answer to question 2.06 onwards which
864
00:49:29.100 --> 00:49:32.200
 starts on page 26 and runs through
865
00:49:32.200 --> 00:49:34.200
 then 2.07.
866
00:49:35.100 --> 00:49:39.100
We explain what we say would be the loss of
867
00:49:39.100 --> 00:49:39.400
 function.
868
00:49:40.500 --> 00:49:43.600
For the project from exceeding to
869
00:49:43.600 --> 00:49:46.100
the changes that were being promoted by
870
00:49:46.100 --> 00:49:49.300
 the local authorities.
871
00:49:50.200 --> 00:49:54.200
And I don't know if you have that document but page 30
872
00:49:53.200 --> 00:49:54.900
 of that document.
```

```
873
00:49:57.700 --> 00:49:59.000
rep 5
874
00:50:01.400 --> 00:50:02.500
rep 5 0 5 6
00:50:04.500 --> 00:50:06.000
has a table.
876
00:50:10.600 --> 00:50:13.600
that considers the loss of
877
00:50:13.600 --> 00:50:16.000
 function that would arise from
878
00:50:17.300 --> 00:50:18.100
the proposed
879
00:50:18.900 --> 00:50:22.000
changes the loss of the various
880
00:50:21.500 --> 00:50:24.600
Parcels that were the subject of the representation. So
881
00:50:24.600 --> 00:50:29.000
we see there parcel e
882
00:50:28.400 --> 00:50:32.000
 12 41.5 megawatts
883
00:50:31.100 --> 00:50:37.100
 and e13 14.7 E5 43.5.
884
00:50:35.100 --> 00:50:38.100
So those were the
885
00:50:39.500 --> 00:50:43.700
Combined ecological and archaeological issues
886
00:50:43.700 --> 00:50:46.800
```

```
landscape and
887
00:50:46.800 --> 00:50:49.800
 Heritage issues. I think were the predominant ones for w03 and
00:50:49.800 --> 00:50:50.500
W12.
889
00:50:51.300 --> 00:50:54.200
W32w12 I
890
00:50:54.200 --> 00:50:59.300
 should say that's a combined total of some 228 megawatts.
891
00:50:58.300 --> 00:51:02.000
 So if we were to exceed to
892
00:51:01.100 --> 00:51:04.400
 all of those changes, there would
893
00:51:04.400 --> 00:51:10.900
be a loss of power generation of 32.328.3 328.3
894
00:51:09.900 --> 00:51:12.600
megawatts. So
895
00:51:12.600 --> 00:51:17.000
 a very very substantial loss
896
00:51:15.400 --> 00:51:19.200
 of energy generation and
897
00:51:18.200 --> 00:51:21.200
we give some
898
00:51:22.200 --> 00:51:26.000
We give some context for that. So for example
```

00:51:27.200 --> 00:51:31.000 the loss of wa3 W12 would

```
900
00:51:30.100 --> 00:51:33.400
 be the equivalent of losing one and a
901
00:51:33.400 --> 00:51:36.800
 half little crow solar Park enzips through
00:51:36.800 --> 00:51:38.800
 making those changes and
903
00:51:40.300 --> 00:51:43.100
we say that that would overall.
904
00:51:44.200 --> 00:51:47.400
Substantially reduce the renewable energy
905
00:51:47.400 --> 00:51:49.300
 generation and of the scheme.
906
00:51:50.100 --> 00:51:53.500
And very significantly reduced the contribution that
907
00:51:53.500 --> 00:51:56.200
we're making towards meeting Net Zero.
908
00:51:58.200 --> 00:51:58.500
and
909
00:52:01.200 --> 00:52:01.900
effectively
910
00:52:03.300 --> 00:52:06.100
These changes would be so significant to the
911
00:52:06.100 --> 00:52:09.100
 scheme that you would be
912
00:52:09.100 --> 00:52:14.000
 undermining. It's it's
913
00:52:13.600 --> 00:52:16.300
```

```
benefits. It's scheme benefits in a material
914
00:52:16.300 --> 00:52:19.600
way and we say in a way which is not
915
00:52:19.600 --> 00:52:23.300
 necessary, but that's obviously a matter of other issues
916
00:52:22.300 --> 00:52:24.200
 specific hearings.
917
00:52:25.400 --> 00:52:29.400
And so the loss of function we think
918
00:52:29.400 --> 00:52:32.300
 it's probably best explained in those quantitative terms.
919
00:52:34.100 --> 00:52:34.700
whether
920
00:52:35.600 --> 00:52:39.900
there is a sensible and and prudent
921
00:52:38.900 --> 00:52:42.000
viable scheme having
922
00:52:41.600 --> 00:52:45.200
 removed 328 megawatts
923
00:52:44.200 --> 00:52:47.100
 of power would be a question that would
924
00:52:47.100 --> 00:52:50.300
have to be Revisited. But essentially it
925
00:52:50.300 --> 00:52:53.400
would be a very substantial reduction in the in the benefits
926
00:52:53.400 --> 00:52:56.300
 scheme as we've indicated. We're
```

```
927
00:52:56.300 --> 00:52:59.200
proceeding in the benefits case on a
928
00:52:59.200 --> 00:53:02.900
 power generation of 500 megawatts.
929
00:53:02.900 --> 00:53:06.500
And this would result in the in a 60
930
00:53:05.500 --> 00:53:08.100
 70% reduction in that.
931
00:53:08.900 --> 00:53:09.300
figure
932
00:53:10.300 --> 00:53:10.800
thank you.
933
00:53:15.300 --> 00:53:18.100
If we could just our revisit move on
934
00:53:18.100 --> 00:53:21.400
to item four, which is also the system
935
00:53:21.400 --> 00:53:22.500
 design flexibility.
936
00:53:37.500 --> 00:53:39.700
I think that one's okay.
937
00:53:44.400 --> 00:53:45.400
I've just got
938
00:53:46.700 --> 00:53:49.200
something I want to briefly revisit on
939
00:53:49.200 --> 00:53:51.200
 item for in respect of
940
00:53:52.200 --> 00:53:53.300
```

```
cable routes
941
00:53:53.900 --> 00:53:55.100
alternatives
942
00:53:56.900 --> 00:53:58.700
Which is item for B.
943
00:54:01.300 --> 00:54:02.700
and I was wondering if
944
00:54:03.800 --> 00:54:05.800
you could briefly give an update.
945
00:54:06.800 --> 00:54:08.200
If there is any updates.
946
00:54:08.900 --> 00:54:11.200
In two areas where there appears to be
947
00:54:11.200 --> 00:54:13.200
 a choice of cable route.
948
00:54:14.400 --> 00:54:18.200
Um on East a on sheet
949
00:54:17.200 --> 00:54:20.300
 two of the land plan. We're going north
950
00:54:20.300 --> 00:54:21.200
 of frackenham.
951
00:54:22.800 --> 00:54:23.900
there appears to be
952
00:54:24.900 --> 00:54:27.800
a route through plots 107 and
00:54:27.800 --> 00:54:28.500
 201
```

```
954
00:54:29.900 --> 00:54:32.900
through plots 310
955
00:54:34.100 --> 00:54:36.000
I'm a writing thinking that that's
00:54:36.800 --> 00:54:39.400
Too alternative cable routes and if
957
00:54:39.400 --> 00:54:43.300
 so, is there anything more to be said about which one you prefer?
958
00:54:48.800 --> 00:54:51.400
Rich attorney for the applicant that that
959
00:54:51.400 --> 00:54:54.300
 is a that area is a
960
00:54:54.300 --> 00:54:55.100
 loop of
961
00:54:56.100 --> 00:54:56.700
cabling
962
00:54:57.200 --> 00:55:00.500
so it's yes as
963
00:55:00.500 --> 00:55:02.000
 you say it's a sheet to
964
00:55:04.200 --> 00:55:07.800
what 107 and 310 they're not
965
00:55:07.800 --> 00:55:10.500
 Alternatives. They are a loop.
00:55:11.400 --> 00:55:14.400
I don't it's a minute tell me what the engineering
967
00:55:14.400 --> 00:55:15.600
```

```
purpose of that leap is.
968
00:55:26.800 --> 00:55:28.800
Sorry, thank you limacare.
969
00:55:30.700 --> 00:55:31.400
an engineer
970
00:55:33.200 --> 00:55:36.500
start with and bit and it can you
971
00:55:36.500 --> 00:55:37.000
 speak up? Sorry.
972
00:55:40.600 --> 00:55:41.300
It links.
973
00:55:42.800 --> 00:55:43.300
part of
974
00:55:44.200 --> 00:55:46.100
one part of the solar array development
975
00:55:47.100 --> 00:55:52.200
to another and then links back round so
976
00:55:50.200 --> 00:55:55.500
 it goes from parcel
977
00:55:53.500 --> 00:55:56.300
to
978
00:55:57.900 --> 00:55:58.800
zero two
979
00:56:00.300 --> 00:56:03.200
Is the main part of the main site through to?
980
00:56:04.200 --> 00:56:07.300
201 and 107 linking to
```

```
981
00:56:08.200 --> 00:56:09.700
parcel 1 0 1
982
00:56:10.900 --> 00:56:13.700
and then it comes back out from
983
00:56:13.700 --> 00:56:16.400
that side from through parcel three
984
00:56:16.400 --> 00:56:17.700
 11 and 310.
985
00:56:18.700 --> 00:56:21.400
and then back into 301 so it's
986
00:56:23.200 --> 00:56:26.700
and that's that that's unnecessary Lutheran having
987
00:56:26.700 --> 00:56:27.600
 just a continuous.
988
00:56:28.700 --> 00:56:30.600
Length of cable as it were yes.
989
00:56:31.400 --> 00:56:34.800
And if that's the case, that would also presumably with
990
00:56:34.800 --> 00:56:37.600
 the case some near lahog Farm.
991
00:56:38.200 --> 00:56:41.100
If you look at sheet 11, yes, that's right. We've got
992
00:56:42.200 --> 00:56:45.600
plots 10 12 10 17 and then
00:56:45.600 --> 00:56:49.000
we've got 1033 1101 and 1103
994
00:56:48.300 --> 00:56:51.600
```

```
by my Reckoning. Yes. That's right. So that's
995
00:56:51.600 --> 00:56:53.800
 the reason for what looks like to
996
00:56:54.700 --> 00:56:57.900
possibilities is actually one ring. Yes.
997
00:56:57.900 --> 00:57:00.000
Yeah. Thank you very much. That's very helpful.
998
00:57:10.500 --> 00:57:13.100
And under the item C where we're
999
00:57:13.100 --> 00:57:15.100
 talking about substations.
1000
00:57:16.400 --> 00:57:18.000
the position now is that
1001
00:57:22.300 --> 00:57:25.600
We have nothing at Burwell but the substations that
1002
00:57:25.600 --> 00:57:28.500
were 132 KV on site and our 400
1003
00:57:28.500 --> 00:57:29.400
KV on site.
1004
00:57:30.100 --> 00:57:33.400
But that you don't need anything more because you'd already got that
1005
00:57:33.400 --> 00:57:34.700
 as one of your options anyway.
1006
00:57:36.200 --> 00:57:39.600
So that that's right. I think that the factual position
1007
00:57:39.600 --> 00:57:43.500
 is is this that we have indicated obviously
```

```
1008
00:57:42.500 --> 00:57:46.800
parameters for the substations the changed
1009
00:57:45.800 --> 00:57:48.300
 substation Design Falls
1010
00:57:48.300 --> 00:57:52.600
within those parameters, but we've produced new indicative designs
to
1011
00:57:51.600 --> 00:57:54.700
 show how those would change
1012
00:57:54.700 --> 00:57:57.100
but they are within what was assessed in the ES.
1013
00:57:58.600 --> 00:58:00.200
Thank you and
1014
00:58:01.500 --> 00:58:02.000
briefly
1015
00:58:03.100 --> 00:58:06.700
On 4D to do with the National Grid substation connection.
1016
00:58:08.800 --> 00:58:11.500
You've explained that you don't any longer need
1017
00:58:11.500 --> 00:58:14.400
what you previously needed for the option that is now
1018
00:58:14.400 --> 00:58:15.300
being removed.
1019
00:58:16.700 --> 00:58:18.000
So what remains?
1020
00:58:19.600 --> 00:58:22.900
Could you very briefly run through have you reduced the
1021
```

```
00:58:22.900 --> 00:58:25.700
 extent of the land you need
1022
00:58:25.700 --> 00:58:28.800
 because you're no longer having to put a
1023
00:58:28.800 --> 00:58:29.200
 substation.
1024
00:58:30.500 --> 00:58:32.800
But well, it's just a cable now.
1025
00:58:33.500 --> 00:58:36.500
Yes, sir, bridge to the applicant. That's right. So
1026
00:58:36.500 --> 00:58:39.700
the position where we were left
1027
00:58:39.700 --> 00:58:40.100
 after.
1028
00:58:43.200 --> 00:58:46.900
the first change was the introduction of the 400 KV
1029
00:58:46.900 --> 00:58:49.700
 option but maintaining at
1030
00:58:49.700 --> 00:58:53.100
 that point whilst we were discussing with National
1031
00:58:52.100 --> 00:58:57.000
 Grid the details of that proposal the
1032
00:58:59.100 --> 00:59:03.800
Possibility of still pursuing option
1033
00:59:02.800 --> 00:59:05.400
 one which is
1034
00:59:05.400 --> 00:59:09.200
was the substation scheme subsession
```

```
1035
00:59:08.200 --> 00:59:11.500
 being constructed outside National Grids land
1036
00:59:11.500 --> 00:59:14.700
 on third party land at Burwell.
1037
00:59:15.200 --> 00:59:17.000
once the
1038
00:59:19.500 --> 00:59:21.800
We could proceed with National Grid.
1039
00:59:22.900 --> 00:59:25.900
With the 400 KV option we were
1040
00:59:25.900 --> 00:59:29.000
 able to exclude that
1041
00:59:28.400 --> 00:59:31.600
 land outside of National Grid substation
1042
00:59:31.600 --> 00:59:33.600
 area. So now the position is that
1043
00:59:34.500 --> 00:59:37.300
The Works that are required at Burwell are all within
1044
00:59:37.300 --> 00:59:42.000
National Grids land in practice,
1045
00:59:41.600 --> 00:59:44.900
 there'll be dealt with through agreement
1046
00:59:44.900 --> 00:59:47.700
with National Grid, but we
1047
00:59:47.700 --> 00:59:50.300
 still have the the right and respective.
1048
```

```
00:59:53.700 --> 00:59:56.200
So we still have the rights in respect
1049
00:59:56.200 --> 00:59:59.700
 of the National Grid substation. And the reason why that still
shown
1050
00:59:59.700 --> 01:00:02.400
 in the order is because
1051
01:00:02.400 --> 01:00:05.000
the precise route once we get
1052
01:00:05.200 --> 01:00:08.500
 through National Grids fence is a matter that National Grid will
tell
1053
01:00:08.500 --> 01:00:11.700
us nearer the time so effectively, we
1054
01:00:11.700 --> 01:00:14.500
 now put our cable straight to the National Grid substation
1055
01:00:14.500 --> 01:00:17.600
 and they will then tell us how we take that
1056
01:00:17.600 --> 01:00:20.500
 through there their fence line effectively and into
1057
01:00:20.500 --> 01:00:23.800
their equipment at
1058
01:00:23.800 --> 01:00:26.400
 the substation, right? So there's still quite
1059
01:00:26.400 --> 01:00:29.500
 a large area that's shown as subject
1060
01:00:29.500 --> 01:00:32.400
to see a that that's in that area and it's
1061
```

```
01:00:32.400 --> 01:00:35.100
National gridland and you don't know where you exactly where
1062
01:00:35.100 --> 01:00:40.100
 you're going to be told to go yet precisely but precise
1063
01:00:38.100 --> 01:00:41.900
 is it so the that that's
1064
01:00:41.900 --> 01:00:45.500
 exactly right but in the
1065
01:00:44.500 --> 01:00:48.100
 development consent order, they're protective
1066
01:00:47.100 --> 01:00:48.900
 Provisions National Grid
1067
01:00:49.700 --> 01:00:53.300
So they restrict our ability to exercise CA powers
01:00:53.300 --> 01:00:56.300
 in the normal way. There's also a side
1069
01:00:56.300 --> 01:00:56.600
 agreement.
1070
01:00:57.200 --> 01:01:01.000
Which has been being completed or being being
1071
01:01:00.500 --> 01:01:04.000
 concluded with National Grids? And obviously
1072
01:01:03.600 --> 01:01:06.200
 they've expressed their position that their content with
1073
01:01:06.200 --> 01:01:09.400
 the current proposals for connection. And we we benefit from
1074
01:01:09.400 --> 01:01:12.500
 a great connection agreement in any event at
```

```
1075
01:01:12.500 --> 01:01:16.500
 that location. So the order authorizes those
1076
01:01:16.500 --> 01:01:19.300
Works in practice those works because there's the
1077
01:01:19.300 --> 01:01:22.500
 protective provisions and the side agreement in practice those
works and
1078
01:01:22.500 --> 01:01:25.700
 carried out in agreement with National Grid
1079
01:01:25.700 --> 01:01:28.300
 and they will
1080
01:01:28.300 --> 01:01:31.000
 tell us the precise engineering design once we get
1081
01:01:31.200 --> 01:01:33.700
 into there existing substation site.
1082
01:01:34.900 --> 01:01:36.700
Fine. Thanks very much. That's very useful.
1083
01:01:39.200 --> 01:01:43.400
I just ask a question, Mr. Turney the parameter
1084
01:01:42.400 --> 01:01:45.900
 plans and don't think have
1085
01:01:45.900 --> 01:01:48.300
 been updated since there were submitted
1086
01:01:48.300 --> 01:01:49.800
with the application. Is that right?
1087
01:01:54.300 --> 01:01:57.700
There were there were two that were submitted.
```

```
1088
01:02:04.100 --> 01:02:07.300
figure 3 – 1 Seneca East
1089
01:02:07.300 --> 01:02:08.300
parameter plan
01:02:09.200 --> 01:02:09.500
and
1091
01:02:11.200 --> 01:02:15.300
corresponding figure 3 - 2 Seneca West
1092
01:02:15.300 --> 01:02:17.000
 and a parameter plan.
1093
01:02:23.100 --> 01:02:24.900
So is it?
1094
01:02:25.700 --> 01:02:28.000
proposed to update those
1095
01:02:29.500 --> 01:02:33.000
and the other thing I'm looking at as well
1096
01:02:32.200 --> 01:02:34.800
 as those two parameter plans.
1097
01:02:36.400 --> 01:02:37.000
is the
1098
01:02:38.200 --> 01:02:39.400
cable corridor
1099
01:02:40.900 --> 01:02:41.900
plans
1100
01:02:43.700 --> 01:02:45.600
I think there are sheet of
1101
01:02:47.100 --> 01:02:48.400
```

```
five or so of those
1102
01:02:49.600 --> 01:02:52.300
Yes and figure 3 -
1103
01:02:52.300 --> 01:02:53.100
 17A.
1104
01:02:53.900 --> 01:02:56.200
Cable Corridor and there are
1105
01:02:56.200 --> 01:02:56.900
 five sheets.
1106
01:02:58.400 --> 01:02:58.700
showing
1107
01:03:00.900 --> 01:03:03.300
what in effect I suppose is the
1108
01:03:04.100 --> 01:03:06.800
other parameters of the cable corridors
1109
01:03:10.600 --> 01:03:11.900
I think that's the current.
1110
01:03:13.300 --> 01:03:16.700
Version, isn't it? So I'm just wondering really where
01:03:16.700 --> 01:03:16.800
 the
1112
01:03:18.500 --> 01:03:22.800
where the ultimate parameters for the cable corridors
1113
01:03:21.800 --> 01:03:24.100
 lie and can be
1114
01:03:24.100 --> 01:03:24.900
 identified.
```

```
1115
01:03:41.600 --> 01:03:44.300
So I'm just
1116
01:03:44.300 --> 01:03:50.100
having a bit of difficulty looking at the parameter plans seeing
01:03:47.100 --> 01:03:50.300
where
1118
01:03:50.300 --> 01:03:53.700
where the where the cable corridors might or
1119
01:03:53.700 --> 01:03:55.300
 might not be within that but of course.
1120
01:03:56.600 --> 01:03:59.100
There is the slightly more detailed.
1121
01:03:59.900 --> 01:04:02.500
Cable Corridor plans themselves, which
1122
01:04:02.500 --> 01:04:03.500
are the five sheets.
1123
01:04:06.600 --> 01:04:09.200
But then see me that that fits into
1124
01:04:09.200 --> 01:04:11.100
the overall parameter plans.
1125
01:04:14.900 --> 01:04:15.800
Rigid only for the applicant. That's
1126
01:04:18.100 --> 01:04:18.400
that's
1127
01:04:20.300 --> 01:04:23.200
right. I mean they you've got sets of plans
1128
01:04:23.200 --> 01:04:23.500
```

```
there.
1129
01:04:24.400 --> 01:04:27.700
Which are parameter plans,
01:04:27.700 --> 01:04:31.600
 but they are not themselves approved. They
1131
01:04:30.600 --> 01:04:33.700
 don't set the promises of the project because
1132
01:04:33.700 --> 01:04:35.500
 there's a set through the design principles.
1133
01:04:37.900 --> 01:04:40.300
So effectively for these purposes those plans are
1134
01:04:40.300 --> 01:04:40.800
 indicative.
1135
01:04:41.900 --> 01:04:45.100
They haven't been updated to reflect the changes we
1136
01:04:44.100 --> 01:04:47.100
 can do so if that would assist.
1137
01:04:48.200 --> 01:04:51.800
But they're not we're not asking for those plans to be approved. So
1138
01:04:51.800 --> 01:04:54.100
 in those circumstances that that's why they haven't been part of
1139
01:04:54.100 --> 01:04:57.400
 the updating documents, but if it would assist the
1140
01:04:57.400 --> 01:05:00.300
 examination, I'm sure we can provide updates to those
1141
01:05:00.300 --> 01:05:03.300
 documents as well. They're just follow from the changes that we've
```

```
1142
01:05:03.300 --> 01:05:06.600
 made. Yes, and I think it would be helpful and
1143
01:05:06.600 --> 01:05:09.100
 so much extra work it will be but I think
1144
01:05:09.100 --> 01:05:12.500
 it will be helpful because it would get things aligned more and it
1145
01:05:12.500 --> 01:05:15.500
would help everybody to identify more readily
1146
01:05:15.500 --> 01:05:18.400
what the what the ultimate position is
1147
01:05:18.400 --> 01:05:21.900
of the applicant and if in
1148
01:05:21.900 --> 01:05:22.300
 some way that
1149
01:05:26.400 --> 01:05:29.600
they would that apply to the cable Corridor plans as
1150
01:05:29.600 --> 01:05:32.300
well it I think it would because those the cable
1151
01:05:32.300 --> 01:05:35.200
 Corridor plans on on brief look at them now
1152
01:05:35.200 --> 01:05:36.800
 indicate.
1153
01:05:39.100 --> 01:05:42.600
Yes that they those cable Corridor plans assume for
1154
01:05:42.600 --> 01:05:45.300
 example, the presence of silica West
1155
01:05:45.300 --> 01:05:48.900
```

```
Side B and whilst the whilst the
1156
01:05:48.900 --> 01:05:49.500
 corridor itself.
1157
01:05:50.600 --> 01:05:53.600
Probably remain the same some of the some of the illustrations on
1158
01:05:53.600 --> 01:05:57.600
 it would change and for example the cable
1159
01:05:57.600 --> 01:06:00.700
 corridor plan showed the substation options,
1160
01:06:00.700 --> 01:06:03.100
which are no longer. Yes pursued so
1161
01:06:03.100 --> 01:06:04.100
that's right.
1162
01:06:04.600 --> 01:06:07.400
That's right. I think by the way, it was option two not option
1163
01:06:07.400 --> 01:06:10.400
one that you referring to is that the third party land that
1164
01:06:10.400 --> 01:06:13.500
was in the in the running for
1165
01:06:13.500 --> 01:06:16.300
 acquisition was in terms. That's right. Yeah,
1166
01:06:16.300 --> 01:06:18.000
 that's that's fine.
1167
01:06:19.100 --> 01:06:22.300
So yes, that would be very helpful actually Mr. Tony if
1168
01:06:22.300 --> 01:06:24.400
 you if we could get those.
```

```
1169
01:06:25.200 --> 01:06:28.700
Those two sets of the parameter plans and the cable Corridor plans
1170
01:06:28.700 --> 01:06:29.300
updated.
1171
01:06:30.100 --> 01:06:33.500
To show the where where
1172
01:06:33.500 --> 01:06:36.400
we are more properly where the applicant is. Yes. Yes,
1173
01:06:36.400 --> 01:06:39.300
as I say that the the reasons
1174
01:06:39.300 --> 01:06:42.400
 there is that they are only illustrative if effectively but
1175
01:06:42.400 --> 01:06:45.200
yeah, they're quite useful plans because they're sort of easier to
1176
01:06:45.200 --> 01:06:48.400
 read than some of the other more detailed ones they are and
1177
01:06:51.100 --> 01:06:55.000
I appreciate they they're submitted and you
1178
01:06:54.100 --> 01:06:57.800
 say that they're because I don't think they're in they're not
1179
01:06:57.800 --> 01:07:01.100
 referred to as such in the dco are
1180
01:07:00.100 --> 01:07:01.300
they?
1181
01:07:01.900 --> 01:07:05.500
That no, no, okay. So
1182
01:07:04.500 --> 01:07:07.000
```

```
when would you be able
1183
01:07:07.100 --> 01:07:08.100
 to provide those by?
1184
01:07:10.200 --> 01:07:13.300
We say deadline seven. So can I can I
1185
01:07:13.300 --> 01:07:16.300
 check that because the people who would deal with this aunt in the
1186
01:07:16.300 --> 01:07:19.700
 ca hearing they'll be with us this week with those
1187
01:07:19.700 --> 01:07:21.100
 same people are producing the landscape.
1188
01:07:21.900 --> 01:07:24.500
Zoomed in zoomed out it is
1189
01:07:24.500 --> 01:07:26.400
 yeah, it will be the script. This is
1190
01:07:29.500 --> 01:07:32.200
Okay, we'll check that if I'm afternoon. Let me let
1191
01:07:32.200 --> 01:07:34.600
 you know be grateful. Thank you. Thank you.
01:07:38.300 --> 01:07:38.600
S0
1193
01:07:39.600 --> 01:07:40.600
That's all I wanted to.
1194
01:07:42.100 --> 01:07:44.000
revisit on item for
1195
01:07:45.900 --> 01:07:48.300
so just moving on to us and
```

```
1196
01:07:48.300 --> 01:07:49.800
 five which is
1197
01:07:54.200 --> 01:07:57.800
the compulsory acquisition and related Provisions in the
1198
01:07:57.800 --> 01:07:59.400
 dco and the land plan.
1199
01:08:00.100 --> 01:08:03.700
I'm assuming you tell me if I'm wrong. But there's
1200
01:08:03.700 --> 01:08:06.400
 no basic fundamental change to the
1201
01:08:06.400 --> 01:08:08.000
 submissions you made at the earlier hearing.
1202
01:08:08.900 --> 01:08:11.500
Because this item is a generic arts and
1203
01:08:11.500 --> 01:08:11.800
 relating to.
1204
01:08:13.300 --> 01:08:14.400
What's in the order?
1205
01:08:15.300 --> 01:08:18.100
I'm assuming that the changes that have been made.
1206
01:08:19.200 --> 01:08:22.500
Don't fundamentally answer that position but that we've correct
assumption
1207
01:08:22.500 --> 01:08:25.000
 it originally for the applicant in terms of
1208
01:08:25.300 --> 01:08:28.500
 the the operative provisions of the order. That's right. None of
1209
```

```
01:08:28.500 --> 01:08:31.800
 those are changed by these changes. The changes
1210
01:08:31.800 --> 01:08:33.100
 are at the back end.
1211
01:08:36.200 --> 01:08:39.400
And reminded. Yes that the there's there's one exception
1212
01:08:39.400 --> 01:08:43.600
to that which is the the options. The
1213
01:08:43.600 --> 01:08:46.500
Burwell options were reflected on
1214
01:08:46.500 --> 01:08:49.300
 the face of the draft order in the sense that
1215
01:08:49.300 --> 01:08:52.400
 there was an optionality in the order and that's been removed in
1216
01:08:52.400 --> 01:08:54.300
 life change. Yes. Yeah.
1217
01:08:56.200 --> 01:08:59.800
So there's nothing else you need to to add this
1218
01:08:59.800 --> 01:09:02.700
the generals the general mechanism in the
1219
01:09:02.700 --> 01:09:05.800
 order for CA and temperatures remains unchanged.
1220
01:09:06.800 --> 01:09:09.300
Yes, because of the Articles which
1221
01:09:09.300 --> 01:09:10.700
 engage CA are the same.
1222
01:09:11.400 --> 01:09:14.200
the need we've talked about the in terms
```

```
1223
01:09:14.200 --> 01:09:15.800
 of the general need for the corridor would
1224
01:09:16.800 --> 01:09:19.600
We talked about nearby projects. I
1225
01:09:19.600 --> 01:09:22.500
 don't think other things changed there the changes
1226
01:09:22.500 --> 01:09:25.700
 aren't sufficient to prompt any change in that aspect
1227
01:09:25.700 --> 01:09:26.200
 of things.
1228
01:09:27.500 --> 01:09:31.800
um compensation Provisions protective provisions
1229
01:09:32.700 --> 01:09:35.100
We know there's been a change there, but that will
1230
01:09:35.100 --> 01:09:37.100
 be dealt with this afternoon. I think yes.
1231
01:09:39.400 --> 01:09:43.000
This application and temporary use
1232
01:09:42.200 --> 01:09:45.900
 of land. So I think the rationale
1233
01:09:45.900 --> 01:09:47.700
 is Remains the Same, doesn't it?
1234
01:09:48.300 --> 01:09:51.600
that that's right the detail of the PPS we
1235
01:09:51.600 --> 01:09:52.400
 can update you on but I think
```

1236

```
01:09:53.600 --> 01:09:55.800
You want to see with that this afternoon? Yeah.
1237
01:09:56.700 --> 01:09:57.200
Thank you.
1238
01:09:58.400 --> 01:10:00.100
so if we roll on
1239
01:10:01.300 --> 01:10:01.600
to
1240
01:10:03.100 --> 01:10:04.200
item six
1241
01:10:05.200 --> 01:10:07.600
the strategy conditions in general principles
1242
01:10:08.900 --> 01:10:11.700
I think the same perhaps applies
1243
01:10:11.700 --> 01:10:12.900
here because honestly
1244
01:10:13.700 --> 01:10:16.800
the application with the change still
1245
01:10:16.800 --> 01:10:18.600
has the request for CA.
1246
01:10:21.400 --> 01:10:24.600
You set out the purposes for which
1247
01:10:24.600 --> 01:10:27.000
the piles are sort. And you said you're going
1248
01:10:27.500 --> 01:10:29.200
to update statement of reasons to make that?
1249
01:10:30.300 --> 01:10:30.800
clear
```

```
1250
01:10:34.600 --> 01:10:37.200
reasonable Alternatives rights to
1251
01:10:37.200 --> 01:10:38.500
be acquired for TP
1252
01:10:39.400 --> 01:10:42.600
On the compelling case. We've already covered
1253
01:10:42.600 --> 01:10:42.900
I think.
1254
01:10:43.800 --> 01:10:46.300
So is there anything else you want
1255
01:10:46.300 --> 01:10:49.200
to add in respect to the change so that item?
1256
01:10:49.900 --> 01:10:55.200
And no sir, the particularly
01:10:52.200 --> 01:10:55.300
because
1258
01:10:55.300 --> 01:10:58.200
we're looking in these changes at where they do
1259
01:10:58.200 --> 01:11:01.900
affect CA they are reductions rather than
1260
01:11:01.900 --> 01:11:04.300
 increases. So there's nothing changed in that in
1261
01:11:04.300 --> 01:11:04.800
those respects.
1262
01:11:05.600 --> 01:11:08.500
It's fine. I've just got one thing. I want
1263
```

```
01:11:08.500 --> 01:11:09.200
 to raise.
1264
01:11:10.100 --> 01:11:10.800
and
1265
01:11:13.500 --> 01:11:16.700
six item Six B, possibly in
1266
01:11:16.700 --> 01:11:18.400
 respect to the changed application.
1267
01:11:19.200 --> 01:11:22.500
I'm thinking about the post decommissioning environment because
1268
01:11:23.700 --> 01:11:27.100
this is a temporary project 40 years,
1269
01:11:26.100 --> 01:11:27.300
 I think.
1270
01:11:28.400 --> 01:11:31.100
We've had discussions about what's temporary and what is
1271
01:11:31.100 --> 01:11:33.200
 and so they'll continue in other hearings No Doubt.
1272
01:11:33.900 --> 01:11:36.300
But I just wanted to know whether you
1273
01:11:36.300 --> 01:11:39.800
 envisaged the powers in article 18
1274
01:11:39.800 --> 01:11:41.800
won the dco.
1275
01:11:43.400 --> 01:11:46.500
Are they capable of being used to enable you
1276
01:11:46.500 --> 01:11:49.500
 to discharge requirements for instance in the
```

```
1277
01:11:49.500 --> 01:11:51.200
 landscape environment management plan?
1278
01:11:51.800 --> 01:11:54.100
For which you've got land acquired?
1279
01:11:54.900 --> 01:11:58.400
In respect to the post decommissioning environment for
1280
01:11:57.400 --> 01:12:00.900
 instance replacing planting
1281
01:12:00.900 --> 01:12:02.800
 another landscape and Land Management measures.
1282
01:12:05.200 --> 01:12:08.100
In this isn't something that would normally
1283
01:12:08.100 --> 01:12:10.500
 concern a permanent project. But in this case, it's
1284
01:12:11.900 --> 01:12:12.900
something I just wanted to
1285
01:12:14.200 --> 01:12:14.400
explore
1286
01:12:15.300 --> 01:12:18.800
Yes, Richardson the applicant. I think we wouldn't
1287
01:12:18.800 --> 01:12:22.400
 envisage that. There'd be a continuing ability
1288
01:12:21.400 --> 01:12:24.600
to rely on, CA Powers
1289
01:12:24.600 --> 01:12:25.800
 after.
1290
```

```
01:12:26.700 --> 01:12:29.700
Decommissioning. Clearly there
1291
01:12:29.700 --> 01:12:32.100
 are issues raised which I think we've come on too late
1292
01:12:32.100 --> 01:12:32.600
this week about
1293
01:12:34.400 --> 01:12:37.800
the nature of the decommissioning obligations
1294
01:12:38.500 --> 01:12:42.200
But I don't think we would envisage that you could use CA
1295
01:12:41.200 --> 01:12:44.900
 powers in in those respects. I don't
1296
01:12:44.900 --> 01:12:47.400
think that's part of what the anticipated.
01:12:55.600 --> 01:12:57.500
Yeah, I think the
1298
01:13:00.200 --> 01:13:01.800
for the purposes of looking at the
1299
01:13:04.500 --> 01:13:05.900
the order as whole
1300
01:13:07.400 --> 01:13:10.900
in terms of the relevance of CA at decommissioning stages
1301
01:13:10.900 --> 01:13:12.300
this first of all,
1302
01:13:13.200 --> 01:13:16.400
For the main sites, we propose permanent acquisition
1303
01:13:16.400 --> 01:13:19.500
 in the order. Hmm But as we've
```

```
1304
01:13:19.500 --> 01:13:23.700
already told the examination will update on specific objectives
later,
1305
01:13:22.700 --> 01:13:25.300
but the intention of
1306
01:13:25.300 --> 01:13:28.400
the applicant is to secure leasehold interest
1307
01:13:28.400 --> 01:13:32.000
 in that land and therefore not need to exercise. Yeah, CA
1308
01:13:31.200 --> 01:13:35.500
Powers at all do it by agreement and
1309
01:13:34.500 --> 01:13:37.800
then the land would be
1310
01:13:37.800 --> 01:13:40.600
 returned to the landowner at the end of the project and
1311
01:13:40.600 --> 01:13:42.000
after decommissioning.
1312
01:13:44.500 --> 01:13:48.800
So that would be in respect to the main sites. If the
1313
01:13:48.800 --> 01:13:51.700
order Powers were relied on the land would be
1314
01:13:51.700 --> 01:13:54.200
decommissioned in accordance with the obligations in
1315
01:13:54.200 --> 01:13:58.100
the order including compliance with the finally proved
1316
01:13:57.100 --> 01:14:00.600
decommissioning Environmental Management
```

```
1317
01:14:00.600 --> 01:14:02.000
plan. And
1318
01:14:04.100 --> 01:14:07.500
In those circumstances the land would remain with
01:14:07.500 --> 01:14:11.900
the Undertaker who
1320
01:14:11.900 --> 01:14:14.400
would who owned the
1321
01:14:14.400 --> 01:14:14.800
 scheme?
1322
01:14:16.700 --> 01:14:18.600
in respect to the cable corridor
1323
01:14:19.500 --> 01:14:23.400
the scheme decommissioning proposal
1324
01:14:22.400 --> 01:14:26.200
would not involve altering the
1325
01:14:25.200 --> 01:14:27.300
 cable Corridor because
1326
01:14:28.300 --> 01:14:31.300
The Proposal that decommissioning as we've indicated in
1327
01:14:31.300 --> 01:14:34.400
 the es would be that the cable would remain in situation in
1328
01:14:34.400 --> 01:14:37.500
other words it will stay in that Corridor it would not be removed
it
1329
01:14:37.500 --> 01:14:40.200
would be deactivated it would no
1330
```

```
01:14:40.200 --> 01:14:43.100
 longer be in use but it would remain in situ rather than
1331
01:14:43.100 --> 01:14:46.100
 digging up all of the corridor to pull out
1332
01:14:46.100 --> 01:14:49.400
 a redundant cable. So in that
1333
01:14:49.400 --> 01:14:53.400
 sense the interference at that stage would
1334
01:14:52.400 --> 01:14:55.800
would be effectively non-existent
1335
01:14:55.800 --> 01:14:58.500
 in the cable Coral Simply Be instead of being a live cable,
1336
01:14:58.500 --> 01:15:00.000
 that would be a dead cable.
1337
01:15:01.100 --> 01:15:01.500
So
1338
01:15:02.800 --> 01:15:05.500
what you're saying is you you're aiming to acquire
1339
01:15:05.500 --> 01:15:08.300
 the soul and Trust for necessary period
1340
01:15:09.200 --> 01:15:10.600
you've got the compulsory acquisition.
1341
01:15:11.500 --> 01:15:14.500
As a backup. Yes, and does that
1342
01:15:14.500 --> 01:15:17.500
 mean that that the end once post?
1343
01:15:19.500 --> 01:15:22.500
40 years decommissioning post decommissioning that effectively the
```

```
1344
01:15:22.500 --> 01:15:24.300
 ca Powers would effectively lapse
1345
01:15:25.100 --> 01:15:28.000
With if I hadn't been exercised they would have
1346
01:15:28.400 --> 01:15:31.100
 lapsed already. So if they'd been
1347
01:15:31.100 --> 01:15:34.700
 done by agreement if the land had been secured by
1348
01:15:34.700 --> 01:15:38.000
 agreement which which is already the
1349
01:15:37.200 --> 01:15:40.400
 vast majority of the scheme is is agreed to be
01:15:40.400 --> 01:15:44.200
 dealt with through that way for the main sites. If done
1351
01:15:43.200 --> 01:15:47.000
 by agreement, the ca
1352
01:15:46.300 --> 01:15:49.600
 Powers would have already lapsed under the statutory
1353
01:15:49.600 --> 01:15:52.700
 time limit and we would
1354
01:15:52.700 --> 01:15:56.200
 the Undertake would hold the main
1355
01:15:55.200 --> 01:15:58.300
 sites under the terms of leases which would
1356
01:15:58.300 --> 01:16:01.800
 themselves determine at the end of that period where the
1357
```

```
01:16:01.800 --> 01:16:04.200
 yeah, the social I can get because
1358
01:16:04.200 --> 01:16:07.300
 yes the end of the period that's it. Yes you've done it's
1359
01:16:07.300 --> 01:16:10.400
 just what you see happening with water effectively
1360
01:16:10.400 --> 01:16:13.100
 permanent Powers well in if the permanent Powers
1361
01:16:13.100 --> 01:16:16.600
were relied on otherwise if we just have agreements for lease and
1362
01:16:16.600 --> 01:16:19.400
we did exercise permanent rights. And as I say the majority is
1363
01:16:19.400 --> 01:16:22.300
 already secured. I think there's one of one component of
01:16:22.300 --> 01:16:24.900
the main sites, which is not yet the subject of
1365
01:16:25.200 --> 01:16:29.200
meant but in those circumstances The Undertaker
1366
01:16:28.200 --> 01:16:29.300
would
1367
01:16:29.800 --> 01:16:32.100
Size the compulsory purchase Powers, they would own
1368
01:16:32.100 --> 01:16:35.400
 Freehold the main sites that was concerned or the
1369
01:16:35.400 --> 01:16:38.300
 part of the main site that was concerned at the
1370
01:16:38.300 --> 01:16:42.100
 end of the 40 year period they would be subject to the same
```

```
decommissioning obligations,
1371
01:16:41.100 --> 01:16:44.400
 but they would then be left with the land. It
01:16:44.400 --> 01:16:47.700
would have to be restored to its former use in accordance with the
requirements
1373
01:16:47.700 --> 01:16:50.700
 of the decommissioning Environmental Management plan management
1374
01:16:50.700 --> 01:16:53.500
 plan and approved but it would rest The Undertaker
1375
01:16:53.500 --> 01:16:56.200
 and obviously the undertaking May then
1376
01:16:56.200 --> 01:16:57.600
dispose of it.
1377
01:16:58.400 --> 01:17:01.000
Right. Yeah understand. Thanks for that.
1378
01:17:01.800 --> 01:17:02.300
So just
1379
01:17:03.100 --> 01:17:05.600
sorry, I'm sorry. Sorry Mr. Big.
1380
01:17:06.800 --> 01:17:09.300
I don't want to add to the discussion, but just
1381
01:17:09.300 --> 01:17:12.300
 to point out that I think Suffolk County
1382
01:17:12.300 --> 01:17:15.100
 Council made some representations on the
1383
01:17:15.100 --> 01:17:17.100
 decommissioning matter. I don't know.
```

```
1384
01:17:18.400 --> 01:17:21.400
Read them at got them in mind, but I think
1385
01:17:21.400 --> 01:17:24.800
 since they're not here and my I think
1386
01:17:24.800 --> 01:17:28.200
 I was going to raise it anyway in dco, so.
1387
01:17:31.100 --> 01:17:34.200
Will will revisit that
1388
01:17:34.200 --> 01:17:37.300
 as well I think because the local authorities aren't here
1389
01:17:37.300 --> 01:17:40.400
 this morning. So yes, and that's okay.
01:17:40.400 --> 01:17:43.400
 I think I think I'll be better with Mr. Bedford in the room because
he he's the
1391
01:17:43.400 --> 01:17:46.700
 one who's who's made the running for the county councils.
1392
01:17:46.700 --> 01:17:49.200
Yes on the proposition that rather than
1393
01:17:49.200 --> 01:17:50.900
 relying on leasehold interests.
1394
01:17:51.400 --> 01:17:54.100
We should instead exercise CA powers of the
1395
01:17:54.100 --> 01:17:58.000
 purposes of securing long-term mitigation beyond beyond
1396
01:17:57.200 --> 01:18:01.600
 the lifetime of the operational Generating
```

```
1397
01:18:00.600 --> 01:18:03.400
 Station. Yes, we've already
1398
01:18:03.400 --> 01:18:06.600
 responded that at least an outline, but I think yeah, it's
01:18:06.600 --> 01:18:10.700
 probably for the the DCA hearing or for the it's relevant
1400
01:18:10.700 --> 01:18:13.200
 all say to the landscape. And so I don't know when you wish
1401
01:18:13.200 --> 01:18:16.100
to deal with it. No sale Friday, but okay. Well it was just a point
1402
01:18:16.100 --> 01:18:19.800
 that's out and we'll see what transposed thank you. It's sort
1403
01:18:19.800 --> 01:18:22.400
 of an overlap area, but I just thought it was worth there
1404
01:18:22.400 --> 01:18:25.300
 is an overlap area and I think I think given that the
1405
01:18:26.400 --> 01:18:26.400
I think
1406
01:18:27.400 --> 01:18:30.100
we that there may be one of the landowners for the
1407
01:18:30.100 --> 01:18:34.100
 main sites here this afternoon and they
1408
01:18:33.100 --> 01:18:37.100
may have something to say about it. But I think really it
1409
01:18:36.100 --> 01:18:39.200
 then becomes an issue given the
1410
01:18:39.200 --> 01:18:42.400
```

```
agreement of the majority that and is it then becomes an
1411
01:18:42.400 --> 01:18:42.700
 issue between
1412
01:18:44.700 --> 01:18:48.200
the the county councils of perhaps
1413
01:18:47.200 --> 01:18:51.700
 all said the districts on the environmental
1414
01:18:51.700 --> 01:18:52.900
 impacts of decommissioning.
1415
01:18:53.900 --> 01:18:56.400
Rather than a CA issue
1416
01:18:56.400 --> 01:18:56.800
per se.
1417
01:19:00.400 --> 01:19:00.500
Thank you.
1418
01:19:02.600 --> 01:19:03.500
So I think we're done with.
1419
01:19:04.800 --> 01:19:05.700
previous of
1420
01:19:07.400 --> 01:19:09.500
some sex so awesome seven.
1421
01:19:11.000 --> 01:19:11.500
bars
1422
01:19:12.700 --> 01:19:15.700
review the objection scheduled and related matters.
1423
01:19:16.600 --> 01:19:20.400
And before we adjourned we
```

```
1424
01:19:20.400 --> 01:19:23.100
 heard from Jonathan bow of
1425
01:19:23.100 --> 01:19:24.500
Womble Bond Dickinson.
1426
01:19:25.100 --> 01:19:28.300
drug development Solutions LG clgc bar research
1427
01:19:30.400 --> 01:19:33.100
So we're now at the end of our necessary consideration of
1428
01:19:33.100 --> 01:19:35.400
 the earlier items in respect of the change.
1429
01:19:36.200 --> 01:19:39.400
So from now on we're looking at the application as it now stands.
1430
01:19:40.700 --> 01:19:42.600
including the changes submitted by the applicants
1431
01:19:45.200 --> 01:19:48.600
now I'm assuming Mr. Bows not here. So I'm assuming he has nothing
wishes to
1432
01:19:48.600 --> 01:19:50.200
 add to what he said previously.
1433
01:19:51.300 --> 01:19:54.000
So we continue with items 7A.
1434
01:19:55.200 --> 01:19:58.300
Which is outstanding objections and progress on negotiations.
1435
01:20:00.400 --> 01:20:03.700
Just one thing I wanted to bottom
1436
01:20:03.700 --> 01:20:03.900
hours.
```

1437

```
01:20:04.800 --> 01:20:07.700
looking at schedule of
1438
01:20:07.700 --> 01:20:11.100
 negotiation and power saw deadlines
1439
01:20:10.100 --> 01:20:13.400
6, which is rep 6 0 2
1440
01:20:13.400 --> 01:20:13.600
2
1441
01:20:15.100 --> 01:20:16.300
page 73
1442
01:20:18.900 --> 01:20:21.600
the entry for Joanna reeks says in negotiations
1443
01:20:21.600 --> 01:20:23.500
 column. That's the far right column.
01:20:24.100 --> 01:20:27.200
That Sonic has been in correspondence with the tilbrook family.
1445
01:20:28.200 --> 01:20:30.100
and their solicitors since 2019
1446
01:20:31.300 --> 01:20:31.900
So I was thinking.
1447
01:20:33.200 --> 01:20:36.500
For this statement not to be a non-secretory. It might make sense to
1448
01:20:36.500 --> 01:20:38.200
 out at the beginning of the negotiations.
1449
01:20:39.200 --> 01:20:42.200
And tree just to say that Joanna reeks is
1450
01:20:42.200 --> 01:20:45.900
 a member of the silver family if that is indeed the case simply
```

```
sort
1451
01:20:45.900 --> 01:20:48.300
 of somebody reading it isn't going to think hang on. I've
01:20:48.300 --> 01:20:51.300
 got the right thing here just so it's sort of
1453
01:20:51.300 --> 01:20:54.100
 makes it easier to follow.
1454
01:20:56.200 --> 01:20:56.200
1455
01:20:59.200 --> 01:21:02.200
Richard Turney for the applicant just to confirm that is that is the
1456
01:21:02.200 --> 01:21:05.300
 case and we can update that if that if
1457
01:21:05.300 --> 01:21:08.300
 that's assists for clarity, that would be useful if I
1458
01:21:08.300 --> 01:21:10.500
think just if we could do that. Yeah. Thank you.
1459
01:21:11.600 --> 01:21:14.200
It just makes it clear that you're dealing
1460
01:21:14.200 --> 01:21:14.300
with.
1461
01:21:15.200 --> 01:21:18.100
Certain people on block and that person is in that
1462
01:21:18.100 --> 01:21:21.400
 group. It isn't on the face of it a power. That's the
1463
01:21:21.400 --> 01:21:22.700
 case. Otherwise So, yeah. Thank you.
```

```
1464
01:21:24.600 --> 01:21:24.900
1465
01:21:27.200 --> 01:21:29.000
If we could please.
1466
01:21:32.300 --> 01:21:35.200
Summarize briefly which plots of either been removed
1467
01:21:35.200 --> 01:21:38.300
 or used in sizes result of the changes to the
1468
01:21:38.300 --> 01:21:38.500
 application.
1469
01:21:41.300 --> 01:21:41.600
and
1470
01:21:43.200 --> 01:21:45.000
Along with that if we could.
1471
01:21:45.800 --> 01:21:48.600
Briefly summarize outstanding objections and progress
1472
01:21:48.600 --> 01:21:51.600
 on negotiations on alternatives to
1473
01:21:51.600 --> 01:21:52.300
 compulsory acquisition.
1474
01:21:54.200 --> 01:21:58.600
Thanks, Sarah for the applicant. I think I'll ask Linda
1475
01:21:57.600 --> 01:22:00.700
 kl to deal with the
1476
01:22:00.700 --> 01:22:03.600
 update. I just wanted to touch on you mentioned Mr.
1477
01:22:03.600 --> 01:22:08.100
```

```
Bower and his interests in the laboratory and
1478
01:22:07.100 --> 01:22:10.400
just be
1479
01:22:10.400 --> 01:22:13.300
clear that they are we're expecting them to withdraw their
1480
01:22:13.300 --> 01:22:16.300
objection because that position has
1481
01:22:16.300 --> 01:22:19.200
been has been settled through the negotiations with and also
1482
01:22:19.200 --> 01:22:22.500
I was thinking it's more relevant to this afternoon's hearing.
1483
01:22:22.500 --> 01:22:25.200
That's right. So I understand it. I didn't I
1484
01:22:25.200 --> 01:22:28.400
didn't think you'll have the pleasure of Mr. Bow
1485
01:22:28.400 --> 01:22:31.700
coming this afternoon either but just to say yes, that's where
1486
01:22:31.700 --> 01:22:34.400
we left it. Yeah, and that has
1487
01:22:34.400 --> 01:22:38.600
now been resolved with the h-put position, but perhaps if
1488
01:22:38.600 --> 01:22:41.400
we provide do you want to know overview of
1489
01:22:41.400 --> 01:22:45.200
of all of the objectives and
1490
01:22:44.200 --> 01:22:49.200
do you want it for the main
```

```
1491
01:22:48.200 --> 01:22:51.300
 sites and the cable
1492
01:22:51.300 --> 01:22:53.300
 Corridor just the main sites?
1493
01:22:54.200 --> 01:22:57.600
I was just thinking of an overview of the direction of travel and
1494
01:22:57.600 --> 01:22:58.100
 respect of
1495
01:22:58.900 --> 01:23:01.700
progress that's being made again in
1496
01:23:01.700 --> 01:23:02.500
 that respect.
1497
01:23:03.300 --> 01:23:06.500
We'll get can I just Briefly summarize the main sites just
1498
01:23:06.500 --> 01:23:09.300
 to give you that and then I think our last Miss mckel to deal
1499
01:23:09.300 --> 01:23:12.800
 with the the cable Corridor where there's a lot that there
1500
01:23:12.800 --> 01:23:16.300
 are more landowners involved and there's
1501
01:23:16.300 --> 01:23:19.700
 more ongoing. So in respect of the main site,
1502
01:23:19.700 --> 01:23:23.900
 I think the key message is that options have
1503
01:23:23.900 --> 01:23:28.200
 been great agreed and and/or
1504
01:23:26.200 --> 01:23:30.100
```

```
exchanged and
1505
01:23:29.100 --> 01:23:33.000
 significant progress
01:23:32.000 --> 01:23:33.300
made.
1507
01:23:34.200 --> 01:23:38.700
In respect of the majority of the landowners that
1508
01:23:37.700 --> 01:23:41.800
the parcels concerned.
1509
01:23:42.600 --> 01:23:45.400
The as you've already
1510
01:23:45.400 --> 01:23:50.100
 identified the area where we have recorded ongoing negotiations
1511
01:23:49.100 --> 01:23:53.200
 and respect to the tilbrook family and
1512
01:23:52.200 --> 01:23:57.900
that in that relates to Sonica West
1513
01:23:57.900 --> 01:24:01.300
 a and their interests
```

1514
01:24:00.300 --> 01:24:03.400
in that site as well

1515
01:24:03.400 --> 01:24:06.800
as an interest in the cable Corridor,

1516
01:24:06.800 --> 01:24:09.400
which is the

1517
01:24:09.400 --> 01:24:12.600
subject of the same ongoing negotiations.

```
1518
01:24:13.600 --> 01:24:14.900
those
1519
01:24:16.700 --> 01:24:19.400
negotiations are progressing. We think agreement can
01:24:19.400 --> 01:24:21.300
be reached the final points of
1521
01:24:22.100 --> 01:24:25.400
Have been discussed and updated documents have been
1522
01:24:25.400 --> 01:24:30.100
 sent to those parties solicitors
1523
01:24:28.100 --> 01:24:31.700
 this week. So we're
1524
01:24:31.700 --> 01:24:34.500
hopeful that we can draw those negotiations to
1525
01:24:34.500 --> 01:24:38.300
 a conclusion and as a result that remaining.
1526
01:24:41.600 --> 01:24:44.400
Main site element which is not
1527
01:24:44.400 --> 01:24:47.500
 the subject of an agreement. We able to
1528
01:24:47.500 --> 01:24:51.300
 be the subjective in agreement. So that's the position. I
1529
01:24:50.300 --> 01:24:53.400
won't say more now because I think there's a possibility
01:24:53.400 --> 01:24:53.600
 that
1531
01:24:55.300 --> 01:24:58.400
```

```
afternoon. Yes.
1532
01:25:00.500 --> 01:25:03.200
In respect of the cable route then
1533
01:25:03.200 --> 01:25:06.500
perhaps if we can have an update sort of just running through the
the
1534
01:25:06.500 --> 01:25:09.600
 key objectors. I think it's Mr. Flourgie.
1535
01:25:11.400 --> 01:25:14.400
Multiplied you on behalf of the applicant Mr. Rigby. Would
1536
01:25:14.400 --> 01:25:17.400
 you like to go row by row? I appreciate there are
1537
01:25:17.400 --> 01:25:20.100
 quite a number of interests here. We could provide quite a high
level
1538
01:25:20.100 --> 01:25:23.600
 update or would you like us to pull out maybe some of the more key?
I think
1539
01:25:23.600 --> 01:25:26.800
 this is Mr. High level update will be fine. I'm just wanting to
1540
01:25:26.800 --> 01:25:29.500
 establish a direction of travel and hoping it's not going
backwards.
1541
01:25:29.500 --> 01:25:32.200
 Yes or no. Thank you. So as a
1542
01:25:32.200 --> 01:25:35.200
 high level update the states of objection is as it is
1543
01:25:35.200 --> 01:25:38.500
 we haven't agreed for any relevant representations or objections
```

that someone may speak in respect to the tilbrook position this

```
1544
01:25:38.500 --> 01:25:41.700
to be removed but negotiations are Advanced and very
1545
01:25:41.700 --> 01:25:44.300
close to the removal of some of these objections. For example,
1546
01:25:44.300 --> 01:25:47.800
if we refer to Rose two and three with Dr. Sidebottom
1547
01:25:47.800 --> 01:25:50.600
and Elizabeth sidebottom, we've recently
1548
01:25:50.600 --> 01:25:53.200
 agreed heads in terms with these landowners which are now with
solicitors. And
1549
01:25:53.200 --> 01:25:57.200
as part of those heads of terms. The objection would be removed
from
1550
01:25:56.200 --> 01:25:59.600
the application just with
1551
01:25:59.600 --> 01:26:02.600
 reference to Rose one nine nineteen twenty and
1552
01:26:02.600 --> 01:26:05.900
21. These are all also subsoil interests
1553
01:26:05.900 --> 01:26:08.400
and respective half with Highway and I
1554
01:26:08.400 --> 01:26:11.100
think there's some text any application explains about
1555
01:26:11.400 --> 01:26:15.400
associations with those sort of interests and that the applicant
hasn't progressed
1556
01:26:15.400 --> 01:26:20.600
```

```
interests. Nonetheless we
1557
01:26:18.600 --> 01:26:21.100
 go maybe a bit
1558
01:26:21.100 --> 01:26:24.700
 further. I know Mr. Tony just touched on Mrs. Tillbrook Century
1559
01:26:24.700 --> 01:26:26.700
Mrs. Heather Tilbury century and Joanna reach entry.
1560
01:26:28.400 --> 01:26:31.500
I have a little bit further and we
1561
01:26:31.500 --> 01:26:34.100
 could also refer to some of our statue undertakers in here. For
example,
1562
01:26:34.100 --> 01:26:38.600
 Caden gas. I know protective Provisions have been agreed there.
However, obviously
1563
01:26:38.600 --> 01:26:41.300
 the relevant representation injection is still in we're still
1564
01:26:41.300 --> 01:26:44.800
 working on fun mechanism to get that removed but the statue
undertaken
1565
01:26:44.800 --> 01:26:47.900
 themselves are happy with the scheme in principle. Yeah, we
1566
01:26:47.900 --> 01:26:50.300
 can cover that again an item 9. Anyway, yeah, of course.
1567
01:26:50.300 --> 01:26:52.100
Thank you, and then maybe
1568
01:26:53.800 --> 01:26:56.600
finish off or maybe the last page we've also spoken
```

those negotiations but has contacted them to discuss those

```
1569
01:26:56.600 --> 01:26:56.700
 about
1570
01:26:58.100 --> 01:27:01.400
DDS LGC buyer research drug development solutions
01:27:01.400 --> 01:27:04.700
that is and and also H. Put her in here as Mr.
1572
01:27:04.700 --> 01:27:07.600
Turney's rightly said we have been working very
1573
01:27:07.600 --> 01:27:10.500
 closely with them of late and we hope that this objection
1574
01:27:10.500 --> 01:27:13.400
 can be removed and that we will be in agreement with regards to
1575
01:27:13.400 --> 01:27:16.400
head to terms protective Provisions Underside agreement for the end
of the examination.
1576
01:27:16.400 --> 01:27:19.900
Thank you. So that's more specifically Rose more
1577
01:27:19.900 --> 01:27:22.800
 specifically. Sorry Rose 23 27
1578
01:27:29.600 --> 01:27:32.600
and 18 and then maybe
1579
01:27:32.600 --> 01:27:35.900
 as a final Point as well good update about the same
1580
01:27:35.900 --> 01:27:38.900
 also applies there with network rail for Rose 33.
1581
01:27:38.900 --> 01:27:41.200
We very close to agreement with
1582
```

```
01:27:41.200 --> 01:27:44.700
with that statue Undertake, and we'd hope that would be completed
and hopefully
1583
01:27:44.700 --> 01:27:48.100
before the end of this month let alone the end of the examination
facts as
1584
01:27:48.100 --> 01:27:51.300
 you said next time next items. Yes. Thank you.
1585
01:27:52.900 --> 01:27:55.100
So Richard turn if the applicant I just wanted to add
1586
01:27:55.100 --> 01:27:58.600
to that just to say that the protective Provisions in
1587
01:27:58.600 --> 01:28:01.300
 respective drug development solutions for the
1588
01:28:01.300 --> 01:28:04.800
 same as the that the H put protects Provisions
1589
01:28:04.800 --> 01:28:07.900
 deal with them those protect Provisions are agreed. So
1590
01:28:07.900 --> 01:28:10.100
 that that issue is the subject of
1591
01:28:10.100 --> 01:28:13.900
 agreement. There's ongoing agreement in respect aside agreement,
1592
01:28:13.900 --> 01:28:16.300
 but I'm going to negotiations on the side agreement, but
1593
01:28:16.300 --> 01:28:19.600
 the protective Provisions are agreed. So what you're saying in
terms of protective
1594
01:28:19.600 --> 01:28:22.100
Provisions, it's not just the Age part 2
```

```
1595
01:28:22.100 --> 01:28:25.400
 of Orlando. It's also the occupiers. Well it is. Well,
1596
01:28:25.400 --> 01:28:28.400
 it benefits the occupies essentially because it
01:28:28.400 --> 01:28:31.800
 protects the premises. Yeah. Thank you.
1598
01:28:35.900 --> 01:28:36.900
rights
1599
01:28:37.500 --> 01:28:40.500
of it's not quite 11:30. It's getting there. So I think before we
1600
01:28:40.500 --> 01:28:41.700
 get onto item 8.
1601
01:28:42.400 --> 01:28:43.600
We'll take a short break.
1602
01:28:44.700 --> 01:28:46.000
And come back.
1603
01:28:50.600 --> 01:28:50.700
Yeah.
1604
01:28:51.600 --> 01:28:52.200
10 to 12
```